

REVIEW

Open Access



Cryptocurrency trading: a comprehensive survey

Fan Fang^{1,2*}, Carmine Ventre¹, Michail Basios², Leslie Kanthan², David Martinez-Rego², Fan Wu² and Lingbo Li^{2*} 

*Correspondence:

fan.fang@kcl.ac.uk;

lingbo@turintech.ai

¹ Department of Informatics,

Faculty of Natural,

Mathematical & Engineering

Science, King's College

London, Strand, London

WC2R 2LS, UK

² Turing Intelligence,

Technology Limited, London,

UK

Abstract

In recent years, the tendency of the number of financial institutions to include cryptocurrencies in their portfolios has accelerated. Cryptocurrencies are the first pure digital assets to be included by asset managers. Although they have some commonalities with more traditional assets, they have their own separate nature and their behaviour as an asset is still in the process of being understood. It is therefore important to summarise existing research papers and results on cryptocurrency trading, including available trading platforms, trading signals, trading strategy research and risk management. This paper provides a comprehensive survey of cryptocurrency trading research, by covering 146 research papers on various aspects of cryptocurrency trading (e.g., cryptocurrency trading systems, bubble and extreme condition, prediction of volatility and return, crypto-assets portfolio construction and crypto-assets, technical trading and others). This paper also analyses datasets, research trends and distribution among research objects (contents/properties) and technologies, concluding with some promising opportunities that remain open in cryptocurrency trading.

Keywords: Trading, Cryptocurrency, Machine learning, Econometrics

Introduction

Cryptocurrencies have experienced broad market acceptance and fast development despite their recent conception. Many hedge funds and asset managers have begun to include cryptocurrency-related assets into their portfolios and trading strategies. The academic community has similarly spent considerable efforts in researching cryptocurrency trading. This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive survey of the research on cryptocurrency trading, by which we mean any study aimed at facilitating and building strategies to trade cryptocurrencies.

As an emerging market and research direction, cryptocurrencies and cryptocurrency trading have seen considerable progress and a notable upturn in interest and activity (Farell 2015). From Fig. 1, we observe over 85% of papers have appeared since 2018, demonstrating the emergence of cryptocurrency trading as a new research area in financial trading. The sampling interval of this survey is from 2013 to June 2021.

The literature is organised according to six distinct aspects of cryptocurrency trading:



- Cryptocurrency trading software systems (i.e., real-time trading systems, turtle trading systems, arbitrage trading systems);
- Systematic trading including technical analysis, pairs trading and other systematic trading methods;
- Emergent trading technologies including econometric methods, machine learning technology and other emergent trading methods;
- Portfolio and cryptocurrency assets including research among cryptocurrency co-movements and crypto-asset portfolio research;
- Market condition research including bubbles (Flood et al. 1986) or crash analysis and extreme conditions;
- Other Miscellaneous cryptocurrency trading research.

In this survey we aim at compiling the most relevant research in these areas and extract a set of descriptive indicators that can give an idea of the level of maturity research in this area has achieved.

We also summarise research distribution (among research properties and categories/ research technologies). The distribution among properties defines the classification of research objectives and content. The distribution among technologies defines the classification of methods or technological approaches to the study of cryptocurrency trading. Specifically, we subdivide research distribution among categories/technologies into statistical methods and machine learning technologies. Moreover, We identify datasets and opportunities (potential research directions) that have appeared in the cryptocurrency trading area. To ensure that our survey is self-contained, we aim to provide sufficient material to adequately guide financial trading researchers who are interested in cryptocurrency trading.

There has been related work that discussed or partially surveyed the literature related to cryptocurrency trading. Kyriazis (2019) investigated the efficiency and profitable trading opportunities in the cryptocurrency market. Ahamad et al. (2013) and Sharma et al. (2017) gave a brief survey on cryptocurrencies, merits of cryptocurrencies compared to fiat currencies and compared different cryptocurrencies that are

proposed in the literature. Mukhopadhyay et al. (2016) gave a brief survey of cryptocurrency systems. Merediz-Solà and Bariviera (2019) performed a bibliometric analysis of bitcoin literature. The outcomes of this related work focused on specific area in cryptocurrency, including cryptocurrencies and cryptocurrency market introduction, cryptocurrency systems / platforms, bitcoin literature review, etc. To the best of our knowledge, no previous work has provided a comprehensive survey particularly focused on cryptocurrency trading.

In summary, the paper makes the following contributions:

Definition This paper defines cryptocurrency trading and categorises it into: cryptocurrency markets, cryptocurrency trading models, and cryptocurrency trading strategies. The core content of this survey is trading strategies for cryptocurrencies while we cover all aspects of it.

Multidisciplinary survey The paper provides a comprehensive survey of 146 cryptocurrency trading papers, across different academic disciplines such as finance and economics, artificial intelligence and computer science. Some papers may cover multiple aspects and will be surveyed for each category.


Analysis The paper analyses the research distribution, datasets and trends that characterise the cryptocurrency trading literature.

Horizons The paper identifies challenges, promising research directions in cryptocurrency trading, aimed to promote and facilitate further research.

Figure 2 depicts the paper structure, which is informed by the review schema adopted. More details about this can be found in " [Paper collection and review schema](#)" section.

Cryptocurrency trading

This section provides an introduction to cryptocurrency trading. We will discuss **Blockchain**, as the enabling technology, **cryptocurrency markets** and **cryptocurrency trading strategies**.



Blockchain


Blockchain technology introduction

Blockchain is a digital ledger of economic transactions that can be used to record not just financial transactions, but any object with an intrinsic value (Tapscott and Tapscott 2016). In its simplest form, a Blockchain is a series of immutable data records with timestamps, which are managed by a cluster of machines that do not belong to any single entity. Each of these data *blocks* is protected by cryptographic principle and bound to each other in a *chain* (cf. Fig. 3 for the workflow).

Cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin are conducted on a peer-to-peer network structure. Each peer has a complete history of all transactions, thus recording the balance of each account. For example, a transaction is a file that says “A pays X Bitcoins to B” that is signed by A using its private key. This is basic public-key cryptography, but also the building block on which cryptocurrencies are based. After being signed, the transaction is broadcast on the network. When a peer discovers a new transaction, it checks to make sure that the signature is valid (this is equivalent to using the signer’s public key, denoted as the algorithm in Fig. 3). If the verification is valid then the block is added to the chain; all other blocks added after it will “confirm” that transaction. For example, if a transaction is contained in block 502 and the length of the blockchain is 507 blocks, it means that the transaction has 5 confirmations (507–502) (Johar 2018).

From Blockchain to cryptocurrencies

Confirmation is a critical concept in cryptocurrencies; only miners can confirm transactions. Miners add blocks to the Blockchain; they retrieve transactions in the previous block and combine it with the hash of the preceding block to obtain its hash, and then store the derived hash into the current block. Miners in Blockchain accept transactions, mark them as legitimate and broadcast them across the network. After the miner confirms the transaction, each node must add it to its database. In layman terms, it has become part of the Blockchain and miners undertake this work to obtain cryptocurrency tokens, such as Bitcoin. In contrast to Blockchain, cryptocurrencies are related to the use of tokens based on distributed ledger technology. Any transaction involving purchase, sale, investment, etc. involves a Blockchain native token or sub-token. Blockchain is a platform that drives cryptocurrency and is a technology that acts as a distributed ledger for the network. The network creates a means of transaction and enables the transfer of value and information. Cryptocurrencies are the tokens used in these networks to send value and pay for these transactions. They can be thought of as tools on the Blockchain, and in some cases can also function as resources or utilities. In other instances, they are used to digitise the value of assets. In summary, cryptocurrencies are part of an ecosystem based on Blockchain technology.



Introduction of cryptocurrency market

What is cryptocurrency?

Cryptocurrency is a decentralised medium of exchange which uses cryptographic functions to conduct financial transactions (Doran 2014). Cryptocurrencies leverage the Blockchain technology to gain decentralisation, transparency, and immutability (Meunier 2018). In the above, we have discussed how Blockchain technology is implemented for cryptocurrencies.



In general, the security of cryptocurrencies is built on cryptography, neither by people nor on trust (Narayanan et al. 2016). For example, Bitcoin uses a method called “Elliptic Curve Cryptography” to ensure that transactions involving Bitcoin are secure (Wang et al. 2017). Elliptic curve cryptography is a type of public-key cryptography that relies on mathematics to ensure the security of transactions. When someone attempts to circumvent the aforesaid encryption scheme by brute force, it takes them one-tenth the age of the universe to find a value match when trying 250 billion possibilities every second (Grayblock 2018). Regarding its use as a currency, cryptocurrency has properties similar to fiat currencies. It has a controlled supply. Most cryptocurrencies limit the availability of their currency volumes. E.g. for Bitcoin, the supply will decrease over time and will reach its final quantity sometime around 2140. All cryptocurrencies control the supply of tokens through a timetable encoded in the Blockchain.

One of the most important features of cryptocurrencies is the exclusion of financial institution intermediaries (Harwick 2016). The absence of a “middleman” lowers transaction costs for traders. For comparison, if a bank’s database is hacked or damaged, the bank will rely entirely on its backup to recover any information that is lost or compromised. With cryptocurrencies, even if part of the network is compromised, the rest will continue to be able to verify transactions correctly. Cryptocurrencies also have the important feature of not being controlled by any central authority (Rose 2015): the decentralised nature of the Blockchain ensures cryptocurrencies are theoretically immune to government control and interference.

The pure digital asset is anything that exists in a digital format and carries with it the right to use it. Currently, digital assets include digital documents, motion picture and so on; the market for digital assets has in fact evolved since its inception in 2009, with the first digital asset “Bitcoin” (Kaal 2020). For this reason, we call the cryptocurrency the “first pure digital asset”.

As of December 20, 2019, there exist 4950 cryptocurrencies and 20,325 cryptocurrency markets; the market cap is around 190 billion dollars (CoinMarketCap 2019). Figure 4 shows historical data on global market capitalisation and 24-h trading volume (TradingView 2021). The blue line is the total cryptocurrency market capitalization and green/red histogram is the total cryptocurrency market volume. The total market cap is calculated by aggregating the dollar market cap of all cryptocurrencies. From the figure, we can observe how cryptocurrencies experience exponential growth in 2017 and a large bubble burst in early 2018. In the wake of the pandemic, cryptocurrencies raised dramatically in value in 2020. In 2021, the market value of cryptocurrencies has been very volatile but consistently at historically high levels.

There are three mainstream cryptocurrencies (Council 2021): Bitcoin (BTC), Ethereum (ETH), and Litecoin (LTC). Bitcoin was created in 2009 and garnered



massive popularity. On October 31, 2008, an individual or group of individuals operating under the pseudonym Satoshi Nakamoto released the Bitcoin white paper and described it as: "A pure peer-to-peer version of electronic cash that can be sent online for payment from one party to another without going through a counterparty, ie. a financial institution." (Nakano et al. 2018) Launched by Vitalik Buterin in 2015, Ethereum is a special Blockchain with a special token called Ether (ETH symbol in exchanges). A very important feature of Ethereum is the ability to create new tokens on the Ethereum Blockchain. The Ethereum network went live on July 30, 2015, and pre-mined 72 million Ethereum. Litecoin is a peer-to-peer cryptocurrency created by Charlie Lee. It was created according to the Bitcoin protocol, but it uses a different hashing algorithm. Litecoin uses a memory-intensive proof-of-work algorithm, Script.

Figure 5 shows percentages of total cryptocurrency market capitalisation; Bitcoin and Ethereum account for the majority of the total market capitalisation (data collected on 14 September 2021).

Cryptocurrency exchanges

A cryptocurrency exchange or digital currency exchange (DCE) is a business that allows customers to trade cryptocurrencies. Cryptocurrency exchanges can be market makers, usually using the bid-ask spread as a commission for services, or as a matching platform, by simply charging fees. A cryptocurrency exchange or digital currency exchange (DCE) is a place that allows customers to trade cryptocurrencies. Cryptocurrency exchanges can be market makers (usually using the bid-ask spread as a commission for services) or a matching platform (simply charging fees).

Table 1 shows the top or classical cryptocurrency exchanges according to the rank list, by volume, compiled on “nomics” website (Nomics 2020). Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME), Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) as well as BAKKT (backed by New York Stock Exchange) are regulated cryptocurrency exchanges. Fiat currency data also comes from “nomics” website (Nomics 2020). Regulatory authority and supported currencies of listed exchanges are collected from official websites or blogs.

Cryptocurrency trading

Definition

First we give a definition of *cryptocurrency trading*.

Definition 1 Cryptocurrency trading is the act of buying and selling of cryptocurrencies with the intention of making a profit.

The definition of cryptocurrency trading can be broken down into three aspects: object, operation mode and trading strategy. The object of cryptocurrency trading is the asset being traded, which is “cryptocurrency”. The operation mode of cryptocurrency

Table 1 Cryptocurrency exchanges lists

Exchanges	Category	Supported currencies	Fiat Currency	Registration country	Regulatory authority
CME	Derivatives	BTC and Ethereum (CME 2020)	USD	USA (CME 2020)	CFTC (CME 2020)
CBOE	Derivatives	BTC (CBOE 2020)	USD	USA (CBOE 2020)	CFTC (CBOE 2020)
BAKKT (NYSE)	Derivatives	BTC (BAKKT 2020)	USD	USA (BAKKT 2020)	CFTC (BAKKT 2020)
BitMex	Derivatives	12 cryptocurrencies (Bitmex 2020)	USD	Seychelles (Bitmex 2020)	–
Binance	Spot	98 cryptocurrencies (Binance 2020)	EUR, NGN, RUB, TRY	Malta (Maltatoday 2020)	FATF (Binance 2020)
Coinbase	Spot	28 cryptocurrencies (Coinbase 2020)	EUR, GBP, USD	USA (Bloomberg 2020)	SEC (Coinbase 2020)
Bitfinex	Spot	> 100 cryptocurrencies (Bitfinex 2020)	EUR, GBP, JPY, USD	British Virgin Islands (Bitfinex 2020)	NYAG (Bitfinex 2020)
Bitstamp	Spot	5 cryptocurrencies (Bitstamp 2020)	EUR, USD	Luxembourg (Bitstamp 2020)	CSSF (Bitstamp 2020)
Poloniex	Spot	23 cryptocurrencies (Poloniex 2020)	USD	USA (Poloniex 2020)	–

trading depends on the means of transaction in the cryptocurrency market, which can be classified into “trading of cryptocurrency Contract for Differences (CFD)” (The contract between the two parties, often referred to as the “buyer” and “seller”, stipulates that the buyer will pay the seller the difference between themselves when the position closes (Authority 2019)) and “buying and selling cryptocurrencies via an exchange”. A trading strategy in cryptocurrency trading, formulated by an investor, is an algorithm that defines a set of predefined rules to buy and sell on cryptocurrency markets.

Advantages of trading cryptocurrency

The benefits of cryptocurrency trading include:

Drastic fluctuations The volatility of cryptocurrencies are often likely to attract speculative interest and investors. The rapid fluctuations of intraday prices can provide traders with great money-earning opportunities, but it also includes more risk.

24-h market The cryptocurrency market is available 24 h a day, 7 days a week because it is a decentralised market. Unlike buying and selling stocks and commodities, the cryptocurrency market is not traded physically from a single location. Cryptocurrency transactions can take place between individuals, in different venues across the world.

Near anonymity Buying goods and services using cryptocurrencies is done online and does not require to make one’s own identity public. With increasing concerns over identity theft and privacy, cryptocurrencies can thus provide users with some advantages regarding privacy.

Different exchanges have specific Know-Your-Customer (KYC) measures for identifying users or customers (Adeyanju 2019). The KYC undertaken in the exchanges allows financial institutions to reduce the financial risk while maximising the wallet owner’s anonymity.

Peer-to-peer transactions One of the biggest benefits of cryptocurrencies is that they do not involve financial institution intermediaries. As mentioned above, this can reduce transaction costs. Moreover, this feature might appeal to users who distrust traditional systems.

Over-the-counter (OTC) cryptocurrency markets offer, in this context, peer-to-peer transactions on the Blockchain. The most famous cryptocurrency OTC market is “LocalBitcoin (Localbtc 2020)”.

Programmable “smart” capabilities Some cryptocurrencies can bring other benefits to holders, including limited ownership and voting rights. Cryptocurrencies may also include a partial ownership interest in physical assets such as artwork or real estate.

Disadvantages of trading cryptocurrency

The disadvantages of cryptocurrency trading include:

- **Scalability problem** Before the massive expansion of the technology infrastructure, the number of transactions and the speed of transactions cannot compete with traditional currency trading. Scalability issues led to a multi-day trading backlog in March

2020, affecting traders looking to move cryptocurrencies from their personal wallets to exchanges (Forbes 2021).

- **Cybersecurity issues** As a digital technology, cryptocurrencies are subject to cyber security breaches and can fall into the hands of hackers. Recently, over \$600 million of ethereum and other cryptocurrencies were stolen in August 2021 in blockchain-based platform Poly Network (Forbes 2021). Mitigating this situation requires ongoing maintenance of the security infrastructure and the use of enhanced cyber security measures that go beyond those used in traditional banking (Kou et al. 2021).
- **Regulations** Authorities around the world face challenging questions about the nature and regulation of cryptocurrency as some parts of the system and its associated risks are largely unknown. There are currently three types of regulatory systems used to control digital currencies, they include: closed system for the Chinese market, open and liberal for the Swiss market, and open and strict system for the US market (UKTN 2021). At the same time, we notice that some countries such as India is not at par in using the cryptocurrency. As Buffett said, “It doesn’t make sense. This thing is not regulated. It’s not under control. It’s not under the supervision of [...] United States Federal Reserve or any other central bank (Forbes 2017).”

Cryptocurrency trading strategy

Cryptocurrency trading strategy is the main focus of this survey. There are many trading strategies, which can be broadly divided into two main categories: technical and fundamental. Technical and fundamental trading are two main trading analysis thoughts when it comes to analyzing the financial markets. Most traders use these two analysis methods or both (Oberlechner 2001). From a survey on stock prediction, we in fact know that 66% of the relevant research work was based on technical analysis; while 23% and 11% were based on fundamental analysis and general analysis, respectively (Nti et al. 2020). Cryptocurrency trading can draw on the experience of stock market trading in most scenarios. So we divide trading strategies into two main categories: technical and fundamental trading.

They are similar in the sense that they both rely on quantifiable information that can be backtested against historical data to verify their performance. In recent years, a third kind of trading strategy, which we call programmatic trading, has received increasing attention. Such a trading strategy is similar to a technical trading strategy because it uses trading activity information on the exchange to make buying or selling decisions. programmatic traders build trading strategies with quantitative data, which is mainly derived from price, volume, technical indicators or ratios to take advantage of inefficiencies in the market and are executed automatically by trading software. Cryptocurrency market is different from traditional markets as there are more arbitrage opportunities, higher fluctuation and transparency. Due to these characteristics, most traders and analysts prefer using programmatic trading in cryptocurrency markets.

Cryptocurrency trading software system

Software trading systems allow international transactions, process customer accounts and information, and accept and execute transaction orders (Calo and Johnson 2002).

A **cryptocurrency trading system** is a set of principles and procedures that are pre-programmed to allow trade between cryptocurrencies and between fiat currencies and cryptocurrencies. Cryptocurrency trading systems are built to overcome price manipulation, cybercriminal activities and transaction delays (Bauriya et al. 2019). When developing a cryptocurrency trading system, we must consider the capital market, base asset, investment plan and strategies (Molina 2019). Strategies are the most important part of an effective cryptocurrency trading system and they will be introduced below. There exist several cryptocurrency trading systems that are available commercially, for example, Capfolio, 3Commas, CCXT, Freqtrade and Ctubio. From these cryptocurrency trading systems, investors can obtain professional trading strategy support, fairness and transparency from the professional third-party consulting companies and fast customer services.

Systematic trading

Systematic trading is a way to define trading goals, risk controls and rules. In general, systematic trading includes high frequency trading and slower investment types like systematic trend tracking. In this survey, we divide systematic cryptocurrency trading into technical analysis, pairs trading and others. Technical analysis in cryptocurrency trading is the act of using historical patterns of transaction data to assist a trader in assessing current and projecting future market conditions for the purpose of making profitable trades. Price and volume charts summarise all trading activity made by market participants in an exchange and affect their decisions. Some experiments showed that the use of specific technical trading rules allows generating excess returns, which is useful to cryptocurrency traders and investors in making optimal trading and investment decisions (Gerritsen et al. 2019). Pairs trading is a systematic trading strategy that considers two similar assets with slightly different spreads. If the spread widens, short the high cryptocurrencies and buy the low cryptocurrencies. When the spread narrows again to a certain equilibrium value, a profit is generated (Elliott et al. 2005). Papers shown in this section involve the analysis and comparison of technical indicators, pairs and informed trading, amongst other strategies.

Tools for building automated trading systems

Tools for building automated trading systems in cryptocurrency market are those emergent trading strategies for cryptocurrency. These include strategies that are based on econometrics and machine learning technologies.

Econometrics on cryptocurrency

Econometric methods apply a combination of statistical and economic theories to estimate economic variables and predict their values (Vogelvang 2005). **Statistical models** use mathematical equations to encode information extracted from the data (Kaufman 2013). In some cases, statistical modeling techniques can quickly provide sufficiently accurate models (Ben-Akiva et al. 2002). Other methods might be used, such as sentiment-based prediction and long-and-short-term volatility classification based prediction (Chang et al. 2015). The prediction of volatility can be used to judge the price


fluctuation of cryptocurrencies, which is also valuable for the pricing of cryptocurrency-related derivatives (Kat and Heynen 1994).

When studying cryptocurrency trading using econometrics, researchers apply statistical models on time-series data like generalised autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) and BEKK (named after Baba, Engle, Kraft and Kroner, 1995 (Engle and Kroner 1995)) models to evaluate the fluctuation of cryptocurrencies (Caporin and McAleer 2012). A **linear statistical model** is a method to evaluate the linear relationship between prices and an explanatory variable (Neter et al. 1996). When there exists more than one explanatory variable, we can model the linear relationship between explanatory (independent) and response (dependent) variables with multiple linear models. The common linear statistical model used in the time-series analysis is the autoregressive moving average (ARMA) model (Choi 2012).

Machine learning technology

Machine learning is an efficient tool for developing Bitcoin and other cryptocurrency trading strategies (McNally et al. 2018) because it can infer data relationships that are often not directly observable by humans. From the most basic perspective, Machine Learning relies on the definition of two main components: input features and objective function. The definition of Input Features (data sources) is where knowledge of fundamental and technical analysis comes into play. We may divide the input into several groups of features, for example, those based on Economic indicators (such as, gross domestic product indicator, interest rates, etc.), Social indicators (Google Trends, Twitter, etc.), Technical indicators (price, volume, etc.) and other Seasonal indicators (time of day, day of the week, etc.). The objective function defines the fitness criteria one uses to judge if the Machine Learning model has learnt the task at hand. Typical predictive models try to anticipate numeric (e.g., price) or categorical (e.g., trend) unseen outcomes. The machine learning model is trained by using historic input data (sometimes called in-sample) to *generalise* patterns therein to unseen (out-of-sample) data to (approximately) achieve the goal defined by the objective function. Clearly, in the case of trading, the goal is to infer trading signals from market indicators which help to anticipate asset future returns.

Generalisation error is a pervasive concern in the application of Machine Learning to real applications, and of utmost importance in Financial applications. We need to use statistical approaches, such as cross validation, to validate the model before we actually use it to make predictions. In machine learning, this is typically called “validation”. The process of using machine learning technology to predict cryptocurrency is shown in Fig. 6.



Depending on the formulation of the main learning loop, we can classify Machine Learning approaches into three categories: Supervised learning, Unsupervised learning and Reinforcement learning. We list a general comparison (IntelliPaat 2021) among these three machine learning methods in Table 2. **Supervised learning** is used to derive a predictive function from labeled training data. Labeled training data means that each training instance includes inputs and expected outputs. Usually, these expected outputs are produced by a supervisor and represent the expected behaviour of the model. The most used labels in trading are derived from in sample future returns of assets. **Unsupervised learning** tries to infer structure from unlabeled training data and it can be used during exploratory data analysis to discover hidden patterns or to group data according to any pre-defined similarity metrics. **Reinforcement learning** utilises software agents trained to maximise a utility function, which defines their objective; this is flexible enough to allow agents to exchange short term returns for future ones. In the financial sector, some trading challenges can be expressed as a game in which an agent aims at maximising the return at the end of the period.

The use of machine learning in cryptocurrency trading research encompasses the connection between data sources' understanding and machine learning model research. Further concrete examples are shown in a later section.

Portfolio research

Portfolio theory advocates diversification of investments to maximize returns for a given level of risk by allocating assets strategically. The celebrated mean-variance optimisation is a prominent example of this approach (Markowitz 1952). Generally, **crypto asset** denotes a digital asset (i.e., cryptocurrencies and derivatives). There are some common ways to build a diversified portfolio in crypto assets. The first method is to diversify across markets, which is to mix a wide variety of investments within a portfolio of the cryptocurrency market. The second method is to consider the industry sector, which is to avoid investing too much money in any one category. Diversified investment of portfolio in the cryptocurrency market includes portfolio across cryptocurrencies (Liu 2019) and portfolio across the global market including stocks and futures (Kajtazi and Moro 2019).

Table 2 Comparison among Different machine learning methods

	Supervised learning	Unsupervised learning	Reinforcement learning
Definition	The machine learns by using labeled data	Machine training through unlabelled data without any guidance	Agents interact with their environment by performing actions and learning from errors or rewards
Type of problems	Rgression or classification	Association or clustering	Reward-based
Type of data	Labeled adata	Unlabeled data	No predefined data
Training	External supervision	No supervision	No supervision
Approach	Mapping tagged inputs to unknown outputs	Understanding patterns or finding outputs	Follow the trail-and-error method

Market condition research

Market condition research appears especially important for cryptocurrencies. A **financial bubble** is a significant increase in the price of an asset without changes in its intrinsic value (Brunnermeier and Oehmke 2013; Kou et al. 2021). Many experts pinpoint a cryptocurrency bubble in 2017 when the prices of cryptocurrencies grew by 900%. In 2018, Bitcoin faced a collapse in its value. This significant fluctuation inspired researchers to study bubbles and extreme conditions in cryptocurrency trading. The cryptocurrency market has experienced a near continuous bull market since the fall of 2020, with the value of Bitcoin soaring from \$10,645 on October 7, 2020 to an all-time high of \$63,346 on April 15, 2021. This represents a gain of approximately +600% in just six months (Forbes 2021). Some experts believe that the extreme volatility of exchange rates means that cryptocurrency exposure should be kept at a low percentage of your portfolio. “I understand if you want to buy it because you believe the price will rise, but make sure it’s only a small part of your portfolio, maybe 1 or 2%!” says Thanos Papasavvas, founder of research group ABP Invest, who has a 20-year background in asset management (FT 2021). In any case, bubbles and crash analysis is an important researching area in cryptocurrency trading.

Paper collection and review schema

The section introduces the scope and approach of our paper collection, a basic analysis, and the structure of our survey.

Survey scope

We adopt a bottom-up approach to the research in cryptocurrency trading, starting from the systems up to risk management techniques. For the underlying trading system, the focus is on the optimisation of trading platforms structure and improvements of computer science technologies.

At a higher level, researchers focus on the design of models to predict return or volatility in cryptocurrency markets. These techniques become useful to the generation of trading signals. On the next level above predictive models, researchers discuss technical trading methods to trade in real cryptocurrency markets. Bubbles and extreme conditions are hot topics in cryptocurrency trading because, as discussed above, these markets have shown to be highly volatile (whilst volatility went down after crashes). Portfolio and cryptocurrency asset management are effective methods to control risk. We group these two areas in risk management research. Other papers included in this survey include topics like pricing rules, dynamic market analysis, regulatory implications, and so on. Table 3 shows the general scope of cryptocurrency trading included in this survey.

Since many trading strategies and methods in cryptocurrency trading are closely related to stock trading, some researchers migrate or use the research results for the latter to the former. When conducting this research, we only consider those papers whose research focuses on cryptocurrency markets or a comparison of trading in those and other financial markets.

Table 3 Survey scope table

Trading (bottom up)	Trading system Prediction (return) Prediction (volatility) Technical trading methods
Risk management	Bubble and extreme condition Portfolio and cryptocurrency asset
Others	

Specifically, we apply the following criteria when collecting papers related to cryptocurrency trading:

- 1 The paper introduces or discusses the general idea of cryptocurrency trading or one of the related aspects of cryptocurrency trading.
- 2 The paper proposes an approach, study or framework that targets optimised efficiency or accuracy of cryptocurrency trading.
- 3 The paper compares different approaches or perspectives in trading cryptocurrency.

By “cryptocurrency trading” here, we mean one of the terms listed in Table 3 and discussed above.

Some researchers gave a brief survey of cryptocurrency (Ahamad et al. 2013; Sharma et al. 2017), cryptocurrency systems (Mukhopadhyay et al. 2016) and cryptocurrency trading opportunities (Kyriazis 2019). These surveys are rather limited in scope as compared to ours, which also includes a discussion on the latest papers in the area; we want to remark that this is a fast-moving research field.

Paper collection methodology


To collect the papers in different areas or platforms, we used keyword searches on Google Scholar and arXiv, two of the most popular scientific databases. We also choose other public repositories like SSRN but we find that almost all academic papers in these platforms can also be retrieved via Google Scholar; consequently, in our statistical analysis, we count those as Google Scholar hits. We choose arXiv as another source since it allows this survey to be contemporary with all the most recent findings in the area. The interested reader is warned that these papers have not undergone formal peer review. The keywords used for searching and collecting are listed below. [Crypto] means the cryptocurrency market, which is our research interest because methods might be different among different markets. We conducted 6 searches across the two repositories until July 1, 2021.

- [Crypto] + Trading
- [Crypto] + Trading system
- [Crypto] + Prediction
- [Crypto] + Trading strategy
- [Crypto] + Risk Management
- [Crypto] + Portfolio

Table 4 Paper query results

Key Words	#Hits	#Title	#Body
[Crypto] + Trading	612	60	41
[Crypto] + Trading system	4	3	2
[Crypto] + Prediction	40	20	18
[Crypto] + Trading strategy	23	10	9
[Crypto] + Risk management /[Crypto] + Portfolio	128	20	16
Query	–	–	86
Snowball	–	–	60
Overall	–	–	146

#Hits, #Title, and #Body denote the number of papers returned by the search, left after title filtering, and left after body filtering, respectively



To ensure high coverage, we adopted the so-called **snowballing** (Wohlin 2014) method on each paper found through these keywords. We checked papers added from snowballing methods that satisfy the criteria introduced above until we reached closure.

Collection results

Table 4 shows the details of the results from our paper collection. Keyword searches and snowballing resulted in 146 papers across the six research areas of interest in "Survey scope" section.

Figure 7 shows the distribution of papers published at different research sites. Among all the papers, 48.63% papers are published in Finance and Economics venues such as Journal of Financial Economics (JFE), Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance (CCAF), Finance Research Letters, Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), Finance Research Letters (FRL), Journal of Risk and Financial Management (JRFM) and some other high impact financial journals; 4.79% papers are published in Science

venues such as Public Library Of Science one (PLOS one), Royal Society open science and SAGE; 14.38% papers are published in Intelligent Engineering and Data Mining venues such as Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI), Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys), Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning (IDEAL) and International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM); 4.79% papers are published in Physics / Physicians venues (mostly in Physics venue) such as Physica A and Maths venue like Journal of Mathematics; 10.96% papers are published in AI and complex system venues such as Complexity and International Federation for Information Processing (IFIP); 15.07% papers are published in Others venues which contains independently published papers and dissertations; 1.37% papers are published on arXiv. The distribution of different venues shows that cryptocurrency trading is mostly published in Finance and Economics venues, but with a wide diversity otherwise.

Survey organisation

We discuss the contributions of the collected papers and a statistical analysis of these papers in the remainder of the paper, according to Table 5.

The papers in our collection are organised and presented from six angles. We introduce the work about several different cryptocurrency trading software systems in "[Cryptocurrency trading software systems](#)" section. "[Systematic trading](#)" section introduces systematic trading applied to cryptocurrency trading. In "[Emergent trading technologies](#)" section, we introduce some emergent trading technologies including econometrics on cryptocurrencies, machine learning technologies and other emergent trading

Table 5 Review Schema

Classification	Sec	Topic
Cryptocurrency Trading Software System	5.1	Trading Infrastructure System
	5.2	Real-time Cryptocurrency Trading System
	5.3	Turtle trading system in Cryptocurrency market
	5.4	Arbitrage Trading Systems for Cryptocurrencies
	5.5	Comparison of three cryptocurrency trading systems
Systematic Trading	6.1	Technical Analysis
	6.2	Pairs Trading
	6.3	Others
Emergent Trading Technologies	7.1	Econometrics on cryptocurrency
	7.2	Machine learning technology
	7.3	Others
Portfolio, Cryptocurrency Assets and Market condition	8.1	Research among cryptocurrency pairs and related factors
	8.2	Crypto-asset portfolio research
	8.3	Bubbles and crash analysis
	8.4	Extreme condition
Others	9	Others related to Cryptocurrency Trading
Summary Analysis of Literature Review	10.1	Timeline
	10.2	Research distribution among properties
	10.3	Research distribution among categories and technologies
	10.4	Datasets used in cryptocurrency trading

technologies in the cryptocurrency market. Section 8 introduces research on cryptocurrency pairs and related factors and crypto-asset portfolios research. In "Bubbles and crash analysis" and "Extreme condition" sections we discuss cryptocurrency market condition research, including bubbles, crash analysis, and extreme conditions. "Others related to cryptocurrency trading" section introduces other research included in cryptocurrency trading not covered above.

We would like to emphasize that the six headings above focus on a particular aspect of cryptocurrency trading; we give a complete organisation of the papers collected under each heading. This implies that those papers covering more than one aspect will be discussed in different sections, once from each angle.

We analyse and compare the number of research papers on different cryptocurrency trading properties and technologies in "Summary analysis of literature review" section, where we also summarise the datasets and the timeline of research in cryptocurrency trading.

We build upon this review to conclude in "Opportunities in cryptocurrency trading" section with some opportunities for future research.

Cryptocurrency trading Software Systems

Trading infrastructure systems

Following the development of computer science and cryptocurrency trading, many cryptocurrency trading systems/bots have been developed. Table 6 compares the cryptocurrency trading systems existing in the market. The table is sorted based on URL types (GitHub or Official website) and GitHub stars (if appropriate).

Capfolio is a proprietary payable cryptocurrency trading system which is a professional analysis platform and has an advanced backtesting engine (Capfolio 2020). It supports five different cryptocurrency exchanges.

3 Commas is a proprietary payable cryptocurrency trading system platform that can take profit and stop-loss orders at the same time (3commas 2020). Twelve different cryptocurrency exchanges are compatible with this system.

CCXT is a cryptocurrency trading system with a unified API out of the box and optional normalized data and supports many Bitcoin / Ether / Altcoin exchange markets and merchant APIs. Any trader or developer can create a trading strategy based on this data and access public transactions through the APIs (Ccxt 2020). The CCXT library is used to connect and trade with cryptocurrency exchanges and payment processing services worldwide. It provides quick access to market data for storage, analysis, visualisation, indicator development, algorithmic trading, strategy backtesting, automated code generation and related software engineering. It is designed for coders, skilled traders, data scientists and financial analysts to build trading algorithms. Current CCXT features include:

- Support for many cryptocurrency exchanges;
- Fully implemented public and private APIs;
- Optional normalized data for cross-exchange analysis and arbitrage;
- Out-of-the-box unified API, very easy to integrate.

Table 6 Comparison of existing cryptocurrency trading systems. #Exchange, Language, and #Popularity denote the number of the exchanges that are supported by this software, programming language used, and the popularity of the software (number of the stars in Github)

Name	Features	#Exchange	Language	Open-Source	URL	#Popularity
Capfolio	Professional analysis platform, Advanced backtesting engine	5	Not mentioned	No	Official website (Capfolio 2020)	
3 Commas	Simultaneous take profit and stop loss orders	12	Not mentioned	No	Official website (3commas 2020)	
CCXT	An out of the box unified API, optional normalized data	10	JavaScript / Python / PHP	Yes	GitHub (Ccxt 2020)	13k
BlackBird	Strategy is market-neutral strategy not transfer funds between exchanges	8	C++	Yes	GitHub (Blackbird 2020)	4.7k
StockSharp	Free C# library, free trading charting application	48	C#	Yes	GitHub (Stocksharp 2020)	2.6k
Freqtrade	Strategy Optimization by machine learning, Calculate edge position sizing	2	Python	Yes	GitHub (Fretrade 2020)	2.4k
CryptoSignal	Technical analysis trading system	4	Python	Yes	GitHub (Cryptosignal 2020)	1.9k
Ctubio	Low latency	1	C++	Yes	GitHub (Ctubio 2020)	1.7k
Catalyst	Analysis and visualization of system seamless transition between live and back-testing	4	Python	Yes	GitHub (Catalyst 2020)	1.7k
GoLang	Sandbox environment simulation	7	Go	Yes	GitHub (Golang 2020)	277

Blackbird Bitcoin Arbitrage is a C++ trading system that automatically executes long / short arbitrage between Bitcoin exchanges. It can generate market-neutral strategies that do not transfer funds between exchanges (Blackbird [2020](#)). The motivation behind Blackbird is to naturally profit from these temporary price differences between different exchanges while being market neutral. Unlike other Bitcoin arbitrage systems, Blackbird does not sell but actually short sells Bitcoin on the short exchange. This feature offers two important advantages. Firstly, the strategy is always market agnostic: fluctuations (rising or falling) in the Bitcoin market will not affect the

strategy returns. This eliminates the huge risks of this strategy. Secondly, this strategy does not require transferring funds (USD or BTC) between Bitcoin exchanges. Buy and sell transactions are conducted in parallel on two different exchanges. There is no need to deal with transmission delays.

StockSharp is an open-source trading platform for trading at any market of the world including 48 cryptocurrency exchanges (Stocksharp 2020). It has a free C# library and free trading charting application. Manual or automatic trading (algorithmic trading robot, regular or HFT) can be run on this platform. StockSharp consists of five components that offer different features:

- S#.Designer - Free universal algorithm strategy app, easy to create strategies;
- S#.Data - free software that can automatically load and store market data;
- S#.Terminal - free trading chart application (trading terminal);
- S#.Shell - ready-made graphics framework that can be changed according to needs and has a fully open source in C#;
- S#.API - a free C# library for programmers using Visual Studio. Any trading strategies can be created in S#.API.

Freqtrade is a free and open-source cryptocurrency trading robot system written in Python. It is designed to support all major exchanges and is controlled by telegram. It contains backtesting, mapping and money management tools, and strategy optimization through machine learning (Fretrade 2020). Freqtrade has the following features:

- Persistence: Persistence is achieved through SQLite technology;
- Strategy optimization through machine learning: Use machine learning to optimize your trading strategy parameters with real trading data;
- Marginal Position Size: Calculates winning rate, risk-return ratio, optimal stop loss and adjusts position size, and then trades positions for each specific market;
- Telegram management: use telegram to manage the robot.
- Dry run: Run the robot without spending money;

CryptoSignal is a professional technical analysis cryptocurrency trading system (Cryptosignal 2020). Investors can track over 500 coins of Bittrex, Bitfinex, GDAX, Gemini and more. Automated technical analysis includes momentum, RSI, Ichimoku Cloud, MACD, etc. The system gives alerts including Email, Slack, Telegram, etc. CryptoSignal has two primary features. First of all, it offers modular code for easy implementation of trading strategies; Secondly, it is easy to install with Docker.

Ctubio is a C++ based low latency (high frequency) cryptocurrency trading system (Ctubio 2020). This trading system can place or cancel orders through supported cryptocurrency exchanges in less than a few milliseconds. Moreover, it provides a charting system that can visualise the trading account status including trades completed, target position for fiat currency, etc.

Catalyst is an analysis and visualization of the cryptocurrency trading system (Catalyst 2020). It makes trading strategies easy to express and backtest them on

historical data (daily and minute resolution), providing analysis and insights into the performance of specific strategies. Catalyst allows users to share and organise data and build profitable, data-driven investment strategies. Catalyst not only supports the trading execution but also offers historical price data of all crypto assets (from minute to daily resolution). Catalyst also has backtesting and real-time trading capabilities, which enables users to seamlessly transit between the two different trading modes. Lastly, Catalyst integrates statistics and machine learning libraries (such as matplotlib, scipy, statsmodels and sklearn) to support the development, analysis and visualization of the latest trading systems.

Golang Crypto Trading Bot is a Go based cryptocurrency trading system (Golang 2020). Users can test the strategy in sandbox environment simulation. If simulation mode is enabled, a fake balance for each coin must be specified for each exchange.

Real-time cryptocurrency trading systems

Bauriya et al. (2019) developed a real-time Cryptocurrency Trading System. A real-time cryptocurrency trading system is composed of clients, servers and databases. Traders use a web-application to login to the server to buy/sell crypto assets. The server collects cryptocurrency market data by creating a script that uses the Coinmarket API. Finally, the database collects balances, trades and order book information from the server. The authors tested the system with an experiment that demonstrates user-friendly and secure experiences for traders in the cryptocurrency exchange platform.

Turtle trading system in Cryptocurrency market

The original Turtle Trading system is a trend following trading system developed in the 1970s. The idea is to generate buy and sell signals on stock for short-term and long-term breakouts and its cut-loss condition which is measured by Average true range (ATR) (Kamrat et al. 2018). The trading system will adjust the size of assets based on their volatility. Essentially, if a turtle accumulates a position in a highly volatile market, it will be offset by a low volatility position. Extended Turtle Trading system is improved with smaller time interval spans and introduces a new rule by using exponential moving average (EMA). Three EMA values are used to trigger the “buy” signal: 30EMA (Fast), 60EMA (Slow), 100EMA (Long). The author of Kamrat et al. (2018) performed back-testing and comparing both trading systems (Original Turtle and Extended Turtle) on 8 prominent cryptocurrencies. Through the experiment, Original Turtle Trading System achieved an 18.59% average net profit margin (percentage of net profit over total revenue) and 35.94% average profitability (percentage of winning trades over total numbers of trades) in 87 trades through nearly one year. Extended Turtle Trading System achieved 114.41% average net profit margin and 52.75% average profitability in 41 trades through the same time interval. This research showed how Extended Turtle Trading System compared can improve over Original Turtle Trading System in trading cryptocurrencies.

Arbitrage trading systems for cryptocurrencies

Christian (Păuna 2018) introduced arbitrage trading systems for cryptocurrencies. Arbitrage trading aims to spot the differences in price that can occur when there are discrepancies in the levels of supply and demand across multiple exchanges. As a result, a

trader could realise a quick and low-risk profit by buying from one exchange and selling at a higher price on a different exchange. Arbitrage trading signals are caught by automated trading software. The technical differences between data sources impose a server process to be organised for each data source. Relational databases and SQL are reliable solution due to the large amounts of relational data. The author used the system to catch arbitrage opportunities on 25 May 2018 among 787 cryptocurrencies on 7 different exchanges. The research paper (Păuna 2018) listed the best ten trading signals made by this system from 186 available found signals. The results showed that the system caught the trading signal of “BTG-BTC” to get a profit of up to 495.44% when arbitraging to buy in Cryptopia exchange and sell in Binance exchange. Another three well-traded arbitrage signals (profit expectation around 20% mentioned by the author) were found on 25 May 2018. Arbitrage Trading Software System introduced in that paper presented general principles and implementation of arbitrage trading system in the cryptocurrency market.

Characteristics of three cryptocurrency trading systems

Real-time trading systems use real-time functions to collect data and generate trading algorithms. Turtle trading system and arbitrage trading system have shown a sharp contrast in their profit and risk behaviour. Using Turtle trading system in cryptocurrency markets got high returns with high risk. Arbitrage trading system is inferior in terms of revenue but also has a lower risk. One feature that turtle trading system and arbitrage trading system have in common is they performed well in capturing alpha.

Systematic trading

Technical analysis

Many researchers have focused on technical indicators (patterns) analysis for trading on cryptocurrency markets. Examples of studies with this approach include “Turtle Soup pattern strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019), “Nem (XEM) strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019), “Amazing Gann Box strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019), “Busted Double Top Pattern strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019), and “Bottom Rotation Trading strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019). Table 7 shows the comparison among these five classical technical trading strategies using technical indicators. “Turtle soup pattern strategy” (TradingstrategyGuides 2019) used a 2-day breakout of price

Table 7 Comparison among five classical technical trading strategies

Technical trading strategy	Core Methods	Tecchnical tools/patterns
Turtle Soup pattern (Tradingstrategy-Guides 2019)	2-daybreakout of price	Chart trading patterns
Nem (XEM) (TradingstrategyGuides 2019)	Price trends combined ROC & RSI	Rate of Change indictor (ROC) Relative strength index (RSI)
Amazing Gann Box (Tradingstrategy-Guides 2019)	Predict exact points of rises and falls in Gann Box (catch explosive trends)	Candlestick, boxcharts with Fibonacci Retracement
Busted Double Top Pattern (TradingstrategyGuides 2019)	Bearish reversal trading pattern that generates a sell signal	Price chart pattern
Bottom Rotation Trading (TradingstrategyGuides 2019)	Pick the bottom before the reversal happens	Price chart pattern, box chart

in predicting price trends of cryptocurrencies. This strategy is a kind of chart trading pattern. “Nem (XEM) strategy” combined Rate of Change (ROC) indicator and Relative Strength Index (RSI) in predicting price trends (TradingstrategyGuides 2019). “Amazing Gann Box” predicted exact points of increase and decrease in Gann Box which are used to catch explosive trends of cryptocurrency price (TradingstrategyGuides 2019). Technical analysis tools such as candlestick and box charts with Fibonacci Retracement based on golden ratio are used in this technical analysis. Fibonacci Retracement uses horizontal lines to indicate where possible support and resistance levels are in the market. “Busted Double Top Pattern” used a Bearish reversal trading pattern which generates a sell signal to predict price trends (TradingstrategyGuides 2019). “Bottom Rotation Trading” is a technical analysis method that picks the bottom before the reversal happens. This strategy used a price chart pattern and box chart as technical analysis tools.

Ha and Moon (2018) investigated using genetic programming (GP) to find attractive technical patterns in the cryptocurrency market. Over 12 technical indicators including Moving Average (MA) and Stochastic oscillator were used in experiments; adjusted gain, match count, relative market pressure and diversity measures have been used to quantify the attractiveness of technical patterns. With extended experiments, the GP system is shown to find successfully attractive technical patterns, which are useful for portfolio optimization. Hudson and Urquhart (2019) applied almost 15,000 to technical trading rules (classified into MA rules, filter rules, support resistance rules, oscillator rules and channel breakout rules). This comprehensive study found that technical trading rules provide investors with significant predictive power and profitability. Corbet et al. (2019) analysed various technical trading rules in the form of the moving average-oscillator and trading range break-out strategies to generate higher returns in cryptocurrency markets. By using one-minute dollar-denominated Bitcoin close-price data, the backtest showed variable-length moving average (VMA) rule performs best considering it generates the most useful signals in high frequency trading.

Grobys et al. (2020) examined a simple moving average trading strategy using daily price data for the 11 most traded cryptocurrencies over the period 2016–2018. The results showed that, excluding Bitcoin, technical trading rules produced an annualised excess return of 8.76% after controlling for average market returns. The analysis also suggests that cryptocurrency markets are inefficient. Al-Yahyaee et al. (2020) examined multiple fractals, long memory processes and efficiency assumptions of major cryptocurrencies using Hurst exponents, time-rolling MF-DFA and quantile regression methods. The results showed that all markets provide evidence of long-term memory properties and multiple fractals. Furthermore, the inefficiency of cryptocurrency markets is time-varying. The researchers concluded that high liquidity with low volatility facilitates arbitrage opportunities for active traders.

Pairs trading

Pairs trading is a trading strategy that attempts to exploit the mean-reversion between the prices of certain securities. Miroslav (Fil 2019) investigated the applicability of standard pairs trading approaches on cryptocurrency data with the benchmarks of Gatev et al. (2006). The pairs trading strategy is constructed in two steps. Firstly, suitable pairs with a stable long-run relationship are identified. Secondly, the long-run equilibrium is

calculated and pairs trading strategy is defined by the spread based on the values. The research also extended intra-day pairs trading using high frequency data. Overall, the model was able to achieve a 3% monthly profit in Miroslav's experiments (Fil 2019). Broek (van den Broek and Sharif 2018) applied pairs trading based on cointegration in cryptocurrency trading and 31 pairs were found to be significantly cointegrated (within sector and cross-sector). By selecting four pairs and testing over a 60-day trading period, the pairs trading strategy got its profitability from arbitrage opportunities, which rejected the Efficient-market hypothesis (EMH) for the cryptocurrency market. Lintilhac and Tourin (2017) proposed an optimal dynamic pair trading strategy model for a portfolio of assets. The experiment used stochastic control techniques to calculate optimal portfolio weights and correlated the results with several other strategies commonly used by practitioners including static dual-threshold strategies. Li and Tourin (2016) proposed a pairwise trading model incorporating time-varying volatility with constant elasticity of variance type. The experiment calculated the best pair strategy by using a finite difference method and estimated parameters by generalised moment method.

Others

Other systematic trading methods in cryptocurrency trading mainly include informed trading. Using USD / BTC exchange rate trading data, Feng et al. (2018) found evidence of informed trading in the Bitcoin market in those quantiles of the order sizes of buyer-initiated (seller-initiated) orders are abnormally high before large positive (negative) events, compared to the quantiles of seller-initiated (buyer-initiated) orders; this study adopts a new indicator inspired by the volume imbalance indicator (Easley et al. 2008). The evidence of informed trading in the Bitcoin market suggests that investors profit on their private information when they get information before it is widely available.

Emergent trading technologies

Econometrics on cryptocurrency

Copula-quantile causality analysis and Granger-causality analysis are methods to investigate causality in cryptocurrency trading analysis. Bouri et al. (2019) applied a copula-quantile causality approach on volatility in the cryptocurrency market. The approach of the experiment extended the Copula-Granger-causality in distribution (CGCD) method of Lee and Yang (2014) in 2014. The experiment constructed two tests of CGCD using copula functions. The parametric test employed six parametric copula functions to discover dependency density between variables. The performance matrix of these functions varies with independent copula density. Three distribution regions are the focus of this research: left tail (1%, 5%, 10% quantile), central region (40%, 60% quantile and median) and right tail (90%, 95%, 99% quantile). The study provided significant evidence of Granger causality from trading volume to the returns of seven large cryptocurrencies on both left and right tails. Bouri et al. (2020) examined the causal linkages among the volatility of leading cryptocurrencies via the frequency-domain test of Bodart and Candelon (2009) and distinguished between temporary and permanent causation. The results showed that permanent shocks are more important in explaining Granger causality whereas transient shocks dominate the causality of smaller cryptocurrencies in the long term. Badenhorst et al. (2019) attempted to reveal whether spot and derivative

market volumes affect Bitcoin price volatility with the Granger-causality method and ARCH (1,1). The result shows spot trading volumes have a significant positive effect on price volatility while the relationship between cryptocurrency volatility and the derivative market is uncertain. Bouri et al. (2020) used a dynamic equicorrelation (DECO) model and reported evidence that the average earnings equilibrium correlation changes over time between the 12 leading cryptocurrencies. The results showed increased cryptocurrency market consolidation despite significant price declined in 2018. Furthermore, measurement of trading volume and uncertainty are key determinants of integration.

Several econometrics methods in time-series research, such as GARCH and BEKK, have been used in the literature on cryptocurrency trading. Conrad et al. (2018) used the GARCH-MIDAS model to extract long and short-term volatility components of the Bitcoin market. The technical details of this model decomposed the conditional variance into the low-frequency and high-frequency components. The results identified that S&P 500 realized volatility has a negative and highly significant effect on long-term Bitcoin volatility and S&P 500 volatility risk premium has a significantly positive effect on long-term Bitcoin volatility. Ardia et al. (2019) used the Markov Switching GARCH (MSGARCH) model to test the existence of institutional changes in the GARCH volatility dynamics of Bitcoin's logarithmic returns. Moreover, a Bayesian method was used for estimating model parameters and calculating VaR prediction. The results showed that MSGARCH models clearly outperform single-regime GARCH for Value-at-Risk forecasting. Troster et al. (2019) performed general GARCH and GAS (Generalized Auto-regressive Score) analysis to model and predict Bitcoin's returns and risks. The experiment found that the GAS model with heavy-tailed distribution can provide the best out-of-sample prediction and goodness-of-fit attributes for Bitcoin's return and risk modeling. The results also illustrated the importance of modeling excess kurtosis for Bitcoin returns.

Charles and Darné (2019) studied four cryptocurrency markets including Bitcoin, Dash, Litecoin and Ripple. Results showed cryptocurrency returns are strongly characterised by the presence of jumps as well as structural breaks except the Dash market. Four GARCH-type models (i.e., GARCH, APARCH, IGARCH and FIGARCH) and three return types with structural breaks (original returns, jump-filtered returns, and jump-filtered returns) are considered. The research indicated the importance of jumps in cryptocurrency volatility and structural breakthroughs. Malladi and Dheeriyaa (2021) examined the time series analysis of Bitcoin and Ripple's returns and volatility to examine the dependence of their prices in part on global equity indices, gold prices and fear indicators such as volatility indices and US economic policy uncertainty indices. Autoregressive-moving-average model with exogenous inputs model (ARMAX), GARCH, VAR and Granger causality tests are used in the experiments. The results showed that there is no causal relationship between global stock market and gold returns on bitcoin returns, but a causal relationship between ripple returns on bitcoin prices is found.

Some researchers focused on long memory methods for volatility in cryptocurrency markets. Long memory methods focused on long-range dependence and significant long-term correlations among fluctuations on markets. Chaim and Laurini (2019) estimated a multivariate stochastic volatility model with discontinuous jumps in cryptocurrency markets. The results showed that permanent volatility appears to be driven by

major market developments and popular interest levels. Caporale et al. (2018) examined persistence in the cryptocurrency market by Rescaled range (R/S) analysis and fractional integration. The results of the study indicated that the market is persistent (there is a positive correlation between its past and future values) and that its level changes over time. Khuntia and Pattanayak (2018) applied the adaptive market hypothesis (AMH) in the predictability of Bitcoin evolving returns. The consistent test of (Domínguez and Lobato 2003), generalized spectral (GS) of (Escanciano and Velasco 2006) are applied in capturing time-varying linear and nonlinear dependence in bitcoin returns. The results verified Evolving Efficiency in Bitcoin price changes and evidence of dynamic efficiency in line with AMH's claims. Gradojevic and Tsiakas (2021) examined volatility cascades across multiple trading ranges in the cryptocurrency market. Using a wavelet Hidden Markov Tree model, authors estimated the transition probability of propagating high or low volatility at one time scale (range) to high or low volatility at the next time scale. The results showed that the volatility cascade tends to be symmetrical when moving from long to short term. In contrast, when moving from short to long term, the volatility cascade is very asymmetric.

Nikolova et al. (2020) provided a new method to calculate the probability of volatility clusters, especially for cryptocurrencies (high volatility of their exchange rates). The authors used the FD4 method to calculate the Hurst index of a volatility series and describe explicit criteria for determining the existence of fixed size volatility clusters by calculation. The results showed that the volatility of cryptocurrencies changes more rapidly than that of traditional assets, and much more rapidly than that of Bitcoin/USD, Ethereum/USD, and Ripple/USD pairs. Ma et al. (2020) investigated whether a new Markov Regime Transformation Mixed Data Sampling (MRS-MIADS) model can improve the prediction accuracy of Bitcoin's Realised Variance (RV). The results showed that the proposed new MRS-MIDAS model exhibits statistically significant improvements in predicting the RV of Bitcoin. At the same time, the occurrence of jumps significantly increases the persistence of high volatility and switches between high and low volatility.

Katsiampa et al. (2018) applied three pair-wise bivariate BEKK models to examine the conditional volatility dynamics along with interlinkages and conditional correlations between three pairs of cryptocurrencies in 2018. More specifically, the BEKK-MGARCH methodology also captured cross-market effects of shocks and volatility, which are also known as shock transmission effects and volatility spillover effects. The experiment found evidence of bi-directional shock transmission effects between Bitcoin and both Ether and Litecoin. In particular, bi-directional shock spillover effects are identified between three pairs (Bitcoin, Ether and Litecoin) and time-varying conditional correlations exist with positive correlations mostly prevailing. In 2019, Katsiampa (2019) further researched an asymmetric diagonal BEKK model to examine conditional variances of five cryptocurrencies that are significantly affected by both previous squared errors and past conditional volatility. The experiment tested the null hypothesis of the unit root against the stationarity hypothesis. Once stationarity is ensured, ARCH LM is tested for ARCH effects to examine the requirement of volatility modeling in return series. Moreover, volatility co-movements among cryptocurrency pairs are also tested by the multivariate GARCH model. The results confirmed the non-normality and heteroskedasticity

of price returns in cryptocurrency markets. The finding also identified the effects of cryptocurrencies' volatility dynamics due to major news.

Hultman (2018) set out to examine GARCH (1,1), bivariate-BEKK (1,1) and a standard stochastic model to forecast the volatility of Bitcoin. A rolling window approach is used in these experiments. Mean absolute error (MAE), Mean squared error (MSE) and Root-mean-square deviation (RMSE) are three loss criteria adopted to evaluate the degree of error between predicted and true values. The result shows the following rank of loss functions: GARCH (1,1) > bivariate-BEKK (1,1) > Standard stochastic for all the three different loss criteria; in other words, GARCH(1,1) appeared best in predicting the volatility of Bitcoin. Wavelet time-scale persistence analysis is also applied in the prediction and research of volatility in cryptocurrency markets (Omane-Adjepong et al. 2019). The results showed that information efficiency (efficiency) and volatility persistence in the cryptocurrency market are highly sensitive to time scales, measures of returns and volatility, and institutional changes. Omame-Adjepong et al. (2019) connected with similar research by Corbet et al. (2018) and showed that GARCH is quicker than BEKK to absorb new information regarding the data.

Zhang and Li (2020) examined how to price exceptional volatility in a cross-section of cryptocurrency returns. Using portfolio-level analysis and Fama-MacBeth regression analysis, the authors demonstrated that idiosyncratic volatility is positively correlated with expected returns on cryptocurrencies.

Machine learning technology

As we have previously stated, Machine learning technology constructs computer algorithms that automatically improve themselves by finding patterns in existing data without explicit instructions (Holmes et al. 1994). The rapid development of machine learning in recent years has promoted its application to cryptocurrency trading, especially in the prediction of cryptocurrency returns. Some ML algorithms solve both classification and regression problems from a methodological point of view. For clearer classification, we focus on the application of these ML algorithms in cryptocurrency trading. For example, Decision Tree (DT) can solve both classification and regression problems. But in cryptocurrency trading, researchers focus more on using DT in solving classification problems. Here we classify DT as "Classification Algorithms".

Common machine learning technology in this survey

Several machine learning technologies are applied in cryptocurrency trading. We distinguish these by the objective set to the algorithm: classification, clustering, regression, reinforcement learning. We have separated a section specifically on deep learning due to its intrinsic variation of techniques and wide adoption.

Classification algorithms Classification in machine learning has the objective of categorising incoming objects into different categories as needed, where we can assign labels to each category (e.g., up and down). Naive Bayes (NB) (Rish et al. 2001), Support Vector Machine (SVM) (Wang 2005), K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) (Wang 2005), Decision Tree (DT) (Friedl and Brodley 1997), Random Forest (RF) (Liaw and Wiener 2002) and Gradient Boosting (GB) (Friedman et al. 2001) algorithms have been used in cryptocurrency trading based on papers we collected. NB is a probabilistic classifier based on

Bayes' theorem with strong (naive) conditional independence assumptions between features (Rish et al. 2001). SVM is a supervised learning model that aims at achieving high margin classifiers connecting to learning bounds theory (Zemmal et al. 2016). SVMs assign new examples to one category or another, making it a non-probabilistic binary linear classifier (Wang 2005), although some corrections can make a probabilistic interpretation of their output (Keerthi et al. 2001). KNN is a memory-based or lazy learning algorithm, where the function is only approximated locally, and all calculations are being postponed to inference time (Wang 2005). DT is a decision support tool algorithm that uses a tree-like decision graph or model to segment input patterns into regions to then assign an associated label to each region (Friedl and Brodley 1997; Fang et al. 2020). RF is an ensemble learning method. The algorithm operates by constructing a large number of decision trees during training and outputting the average consensus as predicted class in the case of classification or mean prediction value in the case of regression (Liaw and Wiener 2002). GB produces a prediction model in the form of an ensemble of weak prediction models (Friedman et al. 2001).

Clustering algorithms Clustering is a machine learning technique that involves grouping data points in a way that each group shows some regularity (Jianliang et al. 2009). K-Means is a vector quantization used for clustering analysis in data mining. K-means stores the k -centroids used to define the clusters; a point is considered to be in a particular cluster if it is closer to the cluster's centroid than any other centroid (Wagstaff et al. 2001). K-Means is one of the most used clustering algorithms used in cryptocurrency trading according to the papers we collected. Clustering algorithms have been successfully applied in many financial applications, such as fraud detection, rejection inference and credit assessment. Automated detection clusters are critical as they help to understand sub-patterns of data that can be used to infer user behaviour and identify potential risks (Li et al. 2021; Kou et al. 2014).

Regression algorithms We have defined regression as any statistical technique that aims at estimating a continuous value (Kutner et al. 2005). Linear Regression (LR) and Scatterplot Smoothing are common techniques used in solving regression problems in cryptocurrency trading. LR is a linear method used to model the relationship between a scalar response (or dependent variable) and one or more explanatory variables (or independent variables) (Kutner et al. 2005). Scatterplot Smoothing is a technology to fit functions through scatter plots to best represent relationships between variables (Friedman and Tibshirani 1984).

Deep Learning algorithms Deep learning is a modern take on artificial neural networks (ANNs) (Zhang et al. 2019), made possible by the advances in computational power. An ANN is a computational system inspired by the natural neural networks that make up the animal's brain. The system "learns" to perform tasks including the prediction by considering examples. Deep learning's superior accuracy comes from high computational complexity cost. Deep learning algorithms are currently the basis for many modern artificial intelligence applications (Sze et al. 2017). Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) (Lawrence et al. 1997), Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) (Mikolov et al. 2011), Gated recurrent units (GRUs) (Chung et al. 2014), Multilayer perceptron (MLP) and Long short-term memory (LSTM) (Cheng et al. 2016) networks are the most common deep learning technologies used in cryptocurrency trading. A CNN is a specific

type of neural network layer commonly used for supervised learning. CNNs have found their best success in image processing and natural language processing problems. An attempt to use CNNs in cryptocurrency can be shown in (Kalchbrenner et al. 2014). An RNN is a type of artificial neural network in which connections between nodes form a directed graph with possible loops. This structure of RNNs makes them suitable for processing time-series data (Mikolov et al. 2011) due to the introduction of memory in the recurrent connections. They face nevertheless for the vanishing gradients problem (Pascanu et al. 2013) and so different variations have been recently proposed. LSTM (Cheng et al. 2016) is a particular RNN architecture widely used. LSTMs have shown to be superior to nongated RNNs on financial time-series problems because they have the ability to selectively remember patterns for a long time. A GRU (Chung et al. 2014) is another gated version of the standard RNN which has been used in crypto trading (Dutta et al. 2020). Another deep learning technology used in cryptocurrency trading is Seq2seq, which is a specific implementation of the Encoder-Decoder architecture (Xu et al. 2017). Seq2seq was first aimed at solving natural language processing problems but has been also applied it in cryptocurrency trend predictions in Sriram et al. (2017).

Reinforcement learning algorithms Reinforcement learning (RL) is an area of machine learning leveraging the idea that software agents act in the environment to maximize a cumulative reward (Sutton and Barto 1998). Deep Q-Learning (DQN) (Gu et al. 2016) and Deep Boltzmann Machine (DBM) (Salakhutdinov and Hinton 2009) are common technologies used in cryptocurrency trading using RL. Deep Q learning uses neural networks to approximate Q-value functions. A state is given as input, and Q values for all possible actions are generated as outputs (Gu et al. 2016). DBM is a type of binary paired Markov random field (undirected probability graphical model) with multiple layers of hidden random variables (Salakhutdinov and Hinton 2009). It is a network of randomly coupled random binary units.

Research on machine learning models

In the development of machine learning trading signals, technical indicators have usually been used as input features. Nakano et al. (2018) explored Bitcoin intraday technical trading based on ANNs for return prediction. The experiment obtained medium frequency price and volume data (time interval of data is 15min) of Bitcoin from a cryptocurrency exchange. An ANN predicts the price trends (up and down) in the next period from the input data. Data is preprocessed to construct a training dataset that contains a matrix of technical patterns including EMA, Emerging Markets Small Cap (EMSD), relative strength index (RSI), etc. Their numerical experiments contain different research aspects including base ANN research, effects of different layers, effects of different activation functions, different outputs, different inputs and effects of additional technical indicators. The results have shown that the use of various technical indicators possibly prevents over-fitting in the classification of non-stationary financial time-series data, which enhances trading performance compared to the primitive technical trading strategy. (Buy-and-Hold is the benchmark strategy in this experiment.)

Some classification and regression machine learning models are applied in cryptocurrency trading by predicting price trends. Most researchers have focused on the comparison of different classification and regression machine learning methods. Sun

et al. (2019) used random forests (RFs) with factors in Alpha01 (Kakushadze 2016) (capturing features from the history of the cryptocurrency market) to build a prediction model. The experiment collected data from API in cryptocurrency exchanges and selected 5-min frequency data for backtesting. The results showed that the performances are proportional to the amount of data (more data, more accurate) and the factors used in the RF model appear to have different importance. For example, “Alpha024” and “Alpha032” features appeared as the most important in the model adopted. (The alpha features come from paper “101 Formulaic Alphas” (Kakushadze 2016).) Vo and Yost-Bremm (2018) applied RFs in High-Frequency cryptocurrency Trading (HFT) and compared it with deep learning models. Minute-level data is collected when utilising a forward fill imputation method to replace the NULL value (i.e., a missing value). Different periods and RF trees are tested in the experiments. The authors also compared F-1 precision and recall metrics between RF and Deep Learning (DL). The results showed that RF is effective despite multicollinearity occurring in ML features, the lack of model identification also potentially leading to model identification issues; this research also attempted to create an HFT strategy for Bitcoin using RF.

Slepaczuk and Zenkova (2018) investigated the profitability of an algorithmic trading strategy based on training an SVM model to identify cryptocurrencies with high or low predicted returns. The results showed that the performance of the SVM strategy was the fourth being better only than S&P B&H strategy, which simply buys-and-hold the S&P index. (There are other 4 benchmark strategies in this research.) The authors observed that SVM needs a large number of parameters and so is very prone to overfitting, which caused its bad performance. Barnwal et al. (2019) used generative and discriminative classifiers to create a stacking model, particularly 3 generative and 6 discriminative classifiers combined by a one-layer Neural Network, to predict the direction of cryptocurrency price. A discriminative classifier directly models the relationship between unknown and known data, while generative classifiers model the prediction indirectly through the data generation distribution (Ng and Jordan 2002). Technical indicators including trend, momentum, volume and volatility, are collected as features of the model. The authors discussed how different classifiers and features affect the prediction. Attanasio et al. (2019) compared a variety of classification algorithms including SVM, NB and RF in predicting next-day price trends of a given cryptocurrency. The results showed that due to the heterogeneity and volatility of cryptocurrencies’ financial instruments, forecasting models based on a series of forecasts appeared better than a single classification technology in trading cryptocurrencies. Madan et al. (2015) modeled the Bitcoin price prediction problem as a binomial classification task, experimenting with a custom algorithm that leverages both random forests and generalized linear models. Daily data, 10-min data and 10-s data are used in the experiments. The experiments showed that 10-min data gave a better sensitivity and specificity ratio than 10-second data (10-second prediction achieved around 10% accuracy). Considering predictive trading, 10-min data helped show clearer trends in the experiment compared to 10-second backtesting. Similarly, Virk (2017) compared RF, SVM, GB and LR to predict the price of Bitcoin. The results showed that SVM achieved the highest accuracy of 62.31% and precision value 0.77 among binomial classification machine learning algorithms.

Different deep learning models have been used in finding patterns of price movements in cryptocurrency markets. Zhengyang et al. (2019) implemented two machine learning models, fully-connected ANN and LSTM to predict cryptocurrency price dynamics. The results showed that ANN, in general, outperforms LSTM although theoretically, LSTM is more suitable than ANN in terms of modeling time series dynamics; the performance measures considered are MAE and RMSE in joint prediction (five cryptocurrencies daily prices prediction). The findings show that the future state of a time series for cryptocurrencies is highly dependent on its historic evolution. Kwon et al. (2019) used an LSTM model, with a three-dimensional price tensor representing the past price changes of cryptocurrencies as input. This model outperforms the GB model in terms of F1-score. Specifically, it has a performance improvement of about 7% over the GB model in 10-min price prediction. In particular, the experiments showed that LSTM is more suitable when classifying cryptocurrency data with high volatility.

Alessandretti et al. (2018) tested Gradient boosting decision trees (including single regression and XGBoost-augmented regression) and the LSTM model on forecasting daily cryptocurrency prices. They found methods based on gradient boosting decision trees worked best when predictions were based on short-term windows of 5/10 days while LSTM worked best when predictions were based on 50 days of data. The relative importance of the features in both models are compared and an optimised portfolio composition (based on geometric mean return and Sharpe ratio) is discussed in this paper. Phaladisailoed and Numnonda (2018) chose regression models (Theil-Sen Regression and Huber Regression) and deep learning-based models (LSTM and GRU) to compare the performance of predicting the rise and fall of Bitcoin price. In terms of two common measure metrics, MSE and R-Square (R^2), GRU shows the best accuracy.

Researchers have also focused on comparing classical statistical models and machine/deep learning models. Rane and Dhage (2019) described classical time series prediction methods and machine learning algorithms used for predicting Bitcoin price. Statistical models such as Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average models (ARIMA), Binomial Generalized Linear Model and GARCH are compared with machine learning models such as SVM, LSTM and Non-linear Auto-Regressive with Exogenous Input Model (NARX). The observation and results showed that the NARX model is the best model with nearly 52% predicting accuracy based on 10 seconds interval. Rebane et al. (2018) compared traditional models like ARIMA with a modern popular model like seq2seq in predicting cryptocurrency returns. The result showed that the seq2seq model exhibited demonstrable improvement over the ARIMA model for Bitcoin-USD prediction but the seq2seq model showed very poor performance in extreme cases. The authors proposed performing additional investigations, such as the use of LSTM instead of GRU units to improve the performance. Similar models were also compared by Stuermer (2019) who explored the superiority of automated investment approach in trend following and technical analysis in cryptocurrency trading. Persson et al. (2018) explored the vector autoregressive model (VAR model), a more complex RNN, and a hybrid of the two in residual recurrent neural networks (R2N2) in predicting cryptocurrency returns. The RNN with ten hidden layers is optimised for the setting and the neural network augmented by VAR allows the network to be shallower, quicker and to have a better prediction than an RNN. RNN, VAR and R2N2 models are compared. The results showed that the VAR model

has phenomenal test period performance and thus props up the R2N2 model, while the RNN performs poorly. This research is an attempt at optimisation of model design and applying to the prediction on cryptocurrency returns.

Deep neural network

Deep Neural Network architectures play important roles in forecasting. Researchers had applied many advanced deep neural network models in cryptocurrency trading like stacking (CNN + RNN) and Autoencoder-Decoder. In this subsection, we describe the cutting edge Deep Neural Network researches in cryptocurrency trading. Recent studies show the productivity of using models based on such architectures for modeling and forecasting financial time series, including cryptocurrencies. Livieris et al. (2020) proposed model called CNN-LSTM for accurate prediction of gold prices and movements. The first component of the model consists of a convolutional layer and a pooling layer, where complex mathematical operations are performed to develop the features of the input data. The second component uses the generated LSTM and the features of the dense layer. The results show that due to the sensitivity of the various hyperparameters of the proposed CNN-LSTM and its high complexity, additional optimisation configurations and major feature engineering have the potential to further improve the predictive power. More Intelligent Evolutionary Optimisation (IEO) for hyperparameter optimisation is core problem when tuning the overall optimization process of machine learning models (Huan et al. 2020). Lu et al. (2020) proposed a CNN-LSTM based method for stock price prediction. In terms of MAE, RMSE and R^2 metrics, the experimental results showed that CNN-LSTM has the highest prediction accuracy and the best performance compared with MLP, CNN, RNN, LSTM, and CNN-RNN.

Fang et al. (2021) applied an autoencoder-augmented LSTM structure in predicting the mid-price of 8 cryptocurrency pairs. Level-2 limit order book live data is collected and the experiment achieved 78% accuracy of price movements prediction in high frequency trading (tick level). This research improved and verified the view of Sirignano and Cont (2019) that universal models have better performance than currency-pair specific models for cryptocurrency markets. Moreover, “Walkthrough” (i.e., retrain the original deep learning model itself when it appears to no longer be valid) is proposed as a method to optimise the training of a deep learning model and shown to significantly improve the prediction accuracy. Yao et al. (2018) proposed a new method for predicting cryptocurrency prices based on deep learning techniques such as RNN and LSTM, taking into account various factors such as market capitalization, trading volume, circulating supply and maximum supply. The experimental results showed that the model performs well for a certain size of dataset. Livieris et al. (2020) combined three of the most widely used integration learning strategies: integrated averaging, bagging and stacking, with advanced deep learning models for predicting hourly prices of major cryptocurrencies. The proposed integrated model is evaluated using a state-of-the-art deep learning model as a component learner, which consists of a combination of LSTM, bidirectional LSTM and convolutional layers. The authors’ detailed experimental analysis shows that integrated learning and deep learning can effectively reinforce each other to develop robust, stable and reliable predictive models. Kumar and Rath (2020) analyzed how deep learning techniques such as MLP and LSTM can help predict the price trend of Ethereum. By

applying day/hour/minute historical data, the LSTM model is more robust and accurate to long-term dependencies than the MLP while LSTM outperformed the MLP marginally but not very significantly.

Sentiment analysis

Sentiment analysis, a popular research topic in the age of social media, has also been adopted to improve predictions for cryptocurrency trading. This data source typically has to be combined with Machine Learning for the generation of trading signals.

Lamon et al. (2017) used daily news and social media data labeled on actual price changes, rather than on positive and negative sentiment. By this approach, the prediction on price is replaced with positive and negative sentiment. The experiment acquired cryptocurrency-related news article headlines from the website like “cryptocoinsnews” and twitter API. Weights are taken in positive and negative words in the cryptocurrency market. Authors compared Logistic Regression (LR), Linear Support Vector Machine (LSVM) and NB as classifiers and concluded that LR is the best classifier in daily price prediction with 43.9% of price increases correctly predicted and 61.9% of price decreases correctly forecasted. Smuts (2019) conducted a similar binary sentiment-based price prediction method with an LSTM model using Google Trends and Telegram sentiment. In detail, the sentiment was extracted from Telegram by using a novel measure called VADER (Hutto and Gilbert 2014). The backtesting reached 76% accuracy on the test set during the first half of 2018 in predicting hourly prices.

Nasir et al. (2019) researched the relationship between cryptocurrency returns and search engines. The experiment employed a rich set of established empirical approaches including VAR framework, copulas approach and non-parametric drawings of time series. The results found that Google searches exert significant influence on Bitcoin returns, especially in the short-term intervals. Kristoufek (2013) discussed positive and negative feedback on Google trends or daily views on Wikipedia. The author mentioned different methods including Cointegration, Vector autoregression and Vector error-correction model to find causal relationships between prices and searched terms in the cryptocurrency market. The results indicated that search trends and cryptocurrency prices are connected. There is also a clear asymmetry between the effects of increased interest in currencies above or below their trend values from the experiment. Kim et al. (2016) analysed user comments and replies in online communities and their connection with cryptocurrency volatility. After crawling comments and replies in online communities, authors tagged the extent of positive and negative topics. Then the relationship between price and the number of transactions of cryptocurrency is tested according to comments and replies to selected data. At last, a prediction model using machine learning based on selected data is created to predict fluctuations in the cryptocurrency market. The results show the amount of accumulated data and animated community activities exerted a direct effect on fluctuation in the price and volume of a cryptocurrency.

Phillips and Gorse (2018) applied dynamic topic modeling and Hawkes model to decipher relationships between topics and cryptocurrency price movements. The authors used Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) model for topic modeling, which assumes each document contains multiple topics to different extents. The experiment showed that particular topics tend to precede certain types of price movements in the cryptocurrency

market and the authors proposed the relationships could be built into real-time cryptocurrency trading. Li et al. (2019) analysed Twitter sentiment and trading volume and an Extreme Gradient Boosting Regression Tree Model in the prediction of ZClassic (ZCL) cryptocurrency market. Sentiment analysis using natural language processing from the Python package “Textblob” assigns impactful words a polarity value. Values of weighted and unweighted sentiment indices are calculated on an hourly basis by summing weights of coinciding tweets, which makes us compare this index to ZCL price data. The model achieved a Pearson correlation of 0.806 when applied to test data, yielding a statistical significance at the $p < 0.0001$ level. Flori (2019) relied on a Bayesian framework that combines market-neutral information with subjective beliefs to construct diversified investment strategies in the Bitcoin market. The result shows that news and media attention seem to contribute to influence the demand for Bitcoin and enlarge the perimeter of the potential investors, probably stimulating price euphoria and upwards-downwards market dynamics. The authors’ research highlighted the importance of news in guiding portfolio re-balancing. Bouri and Gupta (2019) compared the ability of newspaper-based metrics and internet search-based uncertainty metrics in predicting bitcoin returns. The predictive power of Internet-based economic uncertainty-related query indices is statistically stronger than that of newspapers in predicting bitcoin returns.

Similarly, Colianni et al. (2015), Garcia and Schweitzer (2015), Zamuda et al. (2019) et al. used sentiment analysis technology applying it in the cryptocurrency trading area and had similar results. Colianni et al. (2015) cleaned data and applied supervised machine learning algorithms such as logistic regression, Naive Bayes and support vector machines, etc. on Twitter Sentiment Analysis for cryptocurrency trading. Garcia and Schweitzer (2015) applied multidimensional analysis and impulse analysis in social signals of sentiment effects and algorithmic trading of Bitcoin. The results verified the long-standing assumption that transaction-based social media sentiment has the potential to generate a positive return on investment. Zamuda et al. (2019) adopted new sentiment analysis indicators and used multi-target portfolio selection to avoid risks in cryptocurrency trading. The perspective is rationalized based on the elastic demand for computing resources of the cloud infrastructure. A general model evaluating the influence between user’s network Action-Reaction-Influence-Model (ARIM) is mentioned in this research. Bartolucci et al. (2019) researched cryptocurrency prices with the “Butterfly effect”, which means “issues” of the open-source project provides insights to improve prediction of cryptocurrency prices. Sentiment, politeness, emotions analysis of GitHub comments are applied in Ethereum and Bitcoin markets. The results showed that these metrics have predictive power on cryptocurrency prices.

Reinforcement learning

Deep reinforcement algorithms bypass prediction and go straight to market management actions to achieve high cumulated profit (Henderson et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2021). Bu and Cho (2018) proposed a combination of double Q-network and unsupervised pre-training using DBM to generate and enhance the optimal Q-function in cryptocurrency trading. The trading model contains agents in series in the form of two neural networks, unsupervised learning modules and environments. The input market state connects an encoding network which includes spectral feature extraction (convolution-pooling

module) and temporal feature extraction (LSTM module). A double-Q network follows the encoding network and actions are generated from this network. Compared to existing deep learning models (LSTM, CNN, MLP, etc.), this model achieved the highest profit even facing an extreme market situation (recorded 24% of the profit while cryptocurrency market price drops by -64%). Juchli (2018) applied two implementations of reinforcement learning agents, a Q-Learning agent, which serves as the learner when no market variables are provided, and a DQN agent which was developed to handle the features previously mentioned. The DQN agent was backtested under the application of two different neural network architectures. The results showed that the DQN-CNN agent (convolutional neural network) is superior to the DQN-MLP agent (multilayer perceptron) in backtesting prediction. Lucarelli and Borrotti (2019) focused on improving automated cryptocurrency trading with a deep reinforcement learning approach. Double and Dueling double deep Q-learning networks are compared for 4 years. By setting rewards functions as Sharpe ratio and profit, the double Q-learning method demonstrated to be the most profitable approach in trading cryptocurrency. Sattarov et al. (2020) applied deep reinforcement learning and used historical data from BTC, LTC and ETH to observe historical price movements and acted on real-time prices. The model proposed by the authors helped traders to correctly choose one of the following three actions: buy, sell and hold stocks and get advice on the correct option. Experiments applying BTC via deep reinforcement learning showed that investors made a net profit of 14.4% in one month. Similarly, tests on LTC and ETH ended with 74% and 41% profits respectively. Koker and Koutmos (2020) pointed out direct reinforcement (DR) based model for active trading. Within the model, the authors attempt to estimate the parameters of the non-linear autoregressive model to achieve maximum risk-adjusted returns. Traders can take long or short positions in each of our sampled cryptocurrency markets, establish or hold them at the end of time interval t , and re-evaluate at the end of $t + 1$. The results provide some preliminary evidence that cryptocurrency prices may not follow a purely random wandering process.

Others

Atsalakis et al. (2019) proposes a computational intelligence technique that uses a hybrid Neuro-Fuzzy controller, namely PATSOS, to forecast the direction in the change of the daily price of Bitcoin. The proposed methodology outperforms two other computational intelligence models, the first being developed with a simpler neuro-fuzzy approach, and the second being developed with artificial neural networks. According to the signals of the proposed model, the investment return obtained through trading simulation is 71.21% higher than the investment return obtained through a simple buy and hold strategy. This application is proposed for the first time in the forecasting of Bitcoin price movements. Topological data analysis is applied to forecasting price trends of cryptocurrency markets in Kim et al. (2018). The approach is to harness topological features of attractors of dynamical systems for arbitrary temporal data. The results showed that the method can effectively separate important topological patterns and sampling noise (like bid-ask bounces, discreteness of price changes, differences in trade sizes or informational content of price changes, etc.) by providing theoretical results. Kurucz (2019) designed a complex method consisting of single-hidden layer feedforward neural networks

(SLFNs) to (1) determine the predictive power of the most frequent edges of the transaction network (a public ledger that records all Bitcoin transactions) on the future price of Bitcoin; and, (2) to provide an efficient technique for applying this untapped dataset in day trading. The research found a significantly high accuracy (60.05%) for the price movement classifications base on information that can be obtained using a small subset of edges (approximately 0.45% of all unique edges). It is worth noting that, Kondor et al. (2014), Kondor et al. (2014) firstly published some papers giving analysis on transaction networks on cryptocurrency markets and applied related research in identifying Bitcoin users (Juhász et al. 2018).

Abay et al. (2019) attempted to understand the network dynamics behind the Blockchain graphs using topological features. The results showed that standard graph features such as the degree distribution of transaction graphs may not be sufficient to capture network dynamics and their potential impact on Bitcoin price fluctuations. Omane-Adjepong et al. (2019) applied wavelet time-scale persistence in analysing returns and volatility in cryptocurrency markets. The experiment examined the long-memory and market efficiency characteristics in cryptocurrency markets using daily data for more than two years. The authors employed a log-periodogram regression method in researching stationarity in the cryptocurrency market and used ARFIMA-FIGARCH class of models in examining long-memory behaviour of cryptocurrencies across time and scale. In general, experiments indicated that heterogeneous memory behaviour existed in eight cryptocurrency markets using daily data over the full-time period and across scales (August 25, 2015 to March 13, 2018).

Portfolio, cryptocurrency assets and market condition research

Research among cryptocurrency pairs and related factors

Ji et al. (2019) examined connectedness via return and volatility spillovers across six large cryptocurrencies (collected from coinmarketcap lists from August 7 2015 to February 22 2018) and found Litecoin and Bitcoin to have the most effect on other cryptocurrencies. The authors followed methods of Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) and built positive/negative returns and volatility connectedness networks. Furthermore, the regression model is used to identify drivers of various cryptocurrency integration levels. Further analysis revealed that the relationship between each cryptocurrency in terms of return and volatility is not necessarily due to its market size. Omane-Adjepong and Alagidede (2019) explored market coherence and volatility causal linkages of seven leading cryptocurrencies. Wavelet-based methods are used to examine market connectedness. Parametric and nonparametric tests are employed to investigate directions of volatility spillovers of the assets. Experiments revealed from diversification benefits to linkages of connectedness and volatility in cryptocurrency markets. Bouri et al. (2020) found the presence of jumps was detected in a series of 12 cryptocurrency returns, and significant jumping activity was found in all cases. More results underscore the importance of the jump in trading volume for the formation of cryptocurrency leapfrogging. Drożdż et al. (2020) examined the correlation of daily exchange rate fluctuations within a basket of the 100 highest market capitalization cryptocurrencies for the period October 1, 2015 to March 31, 2019. The corresponding dynamics mainly involve one of the leading eigenvalues of the correlation matrix, while the others are mainly consistent with the eigenvalues of the

Wishart random matrix. The study shows that Bitcoin (BTC) was dominant during the period under consideration, signalling exchange rate dynamics at least as influential as the US dollar (USD).

Some researchers explored the relationship between cryptocurrency and different factors, including futures, gold, etc. Hale et al. (2018) suggested that Bitcoin prices rise and fall rapidly after CME issues futures consistent with pricing dynamics. Specifically, the authors pointed out that the rapid rise and subsequent decline in prices after the introduction of futures is consistent with trading behaviour in the cryptocurrency market. Kristjanpoller et al. (2020) focused on the asymmetric interrelationships between major currencies and cryptocurrencies. The results of multiple fractal asymmetric de-trending cross-correlation analysis show evidence of significant persistence and asymmetric multiplicity in the cross-correlation between most cryptocurrency pairs and ETF pairs. Bai and Robinson (2019) studied a trading algorithm for foreign exchange on a cryptocurrency Market using the Automated Triangular Arbitrage method. Implementing a pricing strategy, implementing trading algorithms and developing a given trading simulation are three problems solved by this research. Kang et al. (2019) examined the hedging and diversification properties of gold futures versus Bitcoin prices by using dynamic conditional correlations (DCCs) and wavelet coherence. DCC-GARCH model (Engle 2002) is used to estimate the time-varying correlation between Bitcoin and gold futures by modeling the variance and the co-variance but also this two flexibility. Wavelet coherence method focused more on co-movement between Bitcoin and gold futures. From experiments, the wavelet coherence results indicated volatility persistence, causality and phase difference between Bitcoin and gold. Qiao et al. (2020) used wavelet coherence and relevance networks to investigate synergistic motion between Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies. The authors then tested the hedging effect of bitcoin on others at different time frequencies by risk reduction and downside risk reduction. The empirical results provide evidence of linkage and hedging effects. Bitcoin's returns and volatility are ahead of other cryptocurrencies at low frequencies from the analysis, and in the long run, Bitcoin has a more pronounced hedging effect on other cryptocurrencies. Dyhrberg (2016) applied the GARCH model and the exponential GARCH model in analysing similarities between Bitcoin, gold and the US dollar. The experiments showed that Bitcoin, gold and the US dollar have similarities with the variables of the GARCH model, have similar hedging capabilities and react symmetrically to good and bad news. The authors observed that Bitcoin can combine some advantages of commodities and currencies in financial markets to be a tool for portfolio management.

Baur et al. (2018) extended the research of Dyhrberg et al.; the same data and sample periods are tested (Dyhrberg 2016) with GARCH and EGARCH-(1,1) models but the experiments reached different conclusions. Baur et al. found that Bitcoin has unique risk-return characteristics compared with other assets. They noticed that Bitcoin excess returns and volatility resemble a rather highly speculative asset with respect to gold or the US dollar. Bouri et al. (2017) studied the relationship between Bitcoin and energy commodities by applying DCCs and GARCH (1,1) models. In particular, the results showed that Bitcoin is a strong hedge and safe haven for energy commodities. Kakushadze (2018) proposed factor models for the cross-section of daily cryptoasset returns and provided source code for data downloads, computing risk factors and backtesting

for all cryptocurrencies and a host of various other digital assets. The results showed that cross-sectional statistical arbitrage trading may be possible for cryptoassets subject to efficient executions and shorting. Beneki et al. (2019) tested hedging abilities between Bitcoin and Ethereum by a multivariate BEKK-GARCH methodology and impulse response analysis within VAR model. The results indicated a volatility transaction from Ethereum to Bitcoin, which implied possible profitable trading strategies on the cryptocurrency derivatives market. Caporale and Plastun (2019) examined the week effect in cryptocurrency markets and explored the feasibility of this indicator in trading practice. Student *t*-test, ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were carried out for cryptocurrency data in order to compare time periods that may be characterised by anomalies with other time periods. When an anomaly is detected, an algorithm was established to exploit profit opportunities (MetaTrader terminal in MQL4 is mentioned in this research). The results showed evidence of anomaly (abnormal positive returns on Mondays) in the Bitcoin market by backtesting in 2013–2016.

A number of special research methods have proven to be relevant to cryptocurrency pairs, which is reflected in cryptocurrency trading. Delfabbro et al. (2021) pointed out that cryptocurrency trading have similarities to gambling. Decisions are often based on limited information, short-term profit motives, and highly volatile and uncertain outcomes. The authors examined whether gambling and problem gambling are reliable predictors of reported cryptocurrency trading strength. Results showed that problem gambling scores (PGSI) and engaging in stock trading were significantly correlated with measures of cryptocurrency trading intensity based on time spent per day, number of trades and level of expenditure. In further research, Delfabbro et al. (2021) reviewed the specific structural features of cryptocurrency trading and its potential to give rise to excessive or harmful behaviour, including over-spending and compulsive checking. There are some similarities noted between online sports betting and day trading, but there are also some important differences. These include the 24/7 nature of trading, the global nature of the market and the powerful role of social media, social influences and non-balance sheet related events as determinants of price movement. Cheng and Yen (2020) investigated whether the economic policy uncertainty (EPU) index provided by Baker et al. (2016) can predict the returns of cryptocurrencies. The results suggest that China's EPU Index can predict monthly returns for Bitcoin, whereas the EPU Index for the US or other Asian countries has no predictive power. In addition, China's ban on cryptocurrency trading only affects bitcoin returns among major cryptocurrencies. Leirvik (2021) analysed the relationship between the particular volatility of market liquidity and the returns of the five largest cryptocurrencies by market capitalisation. The results showed that in general there is a positive correlation between the volatility of liquidity and the returns of large-cap cryptocurrencies. For the most liquid and popular cryptocurrencies, this effect does not exist: Bitcoin. Moreover, the liquidity of cryptocurrencies increases over time, but varies greatly over time.

Crypto-asset portfolio research

Some researchers applied portfolio theory for crypto assets. Corbet et al. (2019) gave a systematic analysis of cryptocurrencies as financial assets. Brauneis and Mestel (2019) applied the Markowitz mean-variance framework in order to assess the risk-return

benefits of cryptocurrency portfolios. In an out-of-sample analysis accounting for transaction cost, they found that combining cryptocurrencies enriches the set of 'low'-risk cryptocurrency investment opportunities. In terms of the Sharpe ratio and certainty equivalent returns, the $1/N$ -portfolio (i.e., "naive" strategies, such as equally dividing amongst asset classes) outperformed single cryptocurrencies and more than 75% in terms of the Sharpe ratio and certainty equivalent returns of mean-variance optimal portfolios. Castro et al. (2019) developed a portfolio optimisation model based on the Omega measure which is more comprehensive than the Markowitz model and applied this to four crypto-asset investment portfolios by means of a numerical application. Experiments showed crypto-assets improves the return of the portfolios, but on the other hand, also increase the risk exposure.

Bedi and Nashier (2020) examined diversification capabilities of Bitcoin for a global portfolio spread across six asset classes from the standpoint of investors dealing in five major fiat currencies, namely US Dollar, Great Britain Pound, Euro, Japanese Yen and Chinese Yuan. They employed modified Conditional Value-at-Risk and standard deviation as measures of risk to perform portfolio optimisations across three asset allocation strategies and provided insights into the sharp disparity in Bitcoin trading volumes across national currencies from a portfolio theory perspective. Similar research has been done by Antipova (2019), which explored the possibility of establishing and optimizing a global portfolio by diversifying investments using one or more cryptocurrencies, and assessing returns to investors in terms of risks and returns. Fantazzini and Zimin (2020) proposed a set of models that can be used to estimate the market risk for a portfolio of crypto-currencies, and simultaneously estimate their credit risk using the Zero Price Probability (ZPP) model. The results revealed the superiority of the t-copula/skewed-t GARCH model for market risk, and the ZPP-based models for credit risk. Ji et al. (2019) examined the common dynamics of bitcoin exchanges. Using a connectivity metric based on the actual daily volatility of the bitcoin price, they found that Coinbase is undoubtedly the market leader, while Binance performance is surprisingly weak. The results also suggested that safer asset extraction is more important for volatility linkages between Bitcoin exchanges relative to trading volumes. Fasanya et al. (2020) quantified returns and volatility transmission between cryptocurrency portfolios by using a spillover approach and rolling sample analysis. The results showed that there is a significant difference between the behaviour of cryptocurrency portfolio returns and the volatility spillover index over time. Given the spillover index, the authors found evidence of interdependence between cryptocurrency portfolios, with the spillover index showing an increased degree of integration between cryptocurrency portfolios.

Trucíos et al. (247) proposed a methodology based on vine copulas and robust volatility models to estimate the Value-at-Risk (VaR) and Expected Shortfall (ES) of cryptocurrency portfolios. The proposed algorithm displayed good performance in estimating both VaR and ES. Hrytsiuk et al. (2019) showed that the cryptocurrency returns can be described by the Cauchy distribution and obtained the analytical expressions for VaR risk measures and performed calculations accordingly. As a result of the optimisation, the sets of optimal cryptocurrency portfolios were built in their experiments.

Jiang and Liang (2017) proposed a two-hidden-layer CNN that takes the historical price of a group of cryptocurrency assets as an input and outputs the weight of the

group of cryptocurrency assets. This research focused on portfolio research in cryptocurrency assets using emerging technologies like CNN. Training is conducted in an intensive manner to maximise cumulative returns, which is considered a reward function of the CNN network. The performance of the CNN strategy is compared with the three benchmarks and the other three portfolio management algorithms (buy and hold strategy, Uniform Constant Rebalanced Portfolio and Universal Portfolio with Online Newton Step and Passive Aggressive Mean Reversion); the results are positive in that the model is only second to the Passive Aggressive Mean Reversion algorithm (PAMR). Estalayo et al. (2019) reported initial findings around the combination of DL models and Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs) for allocating cryptocurrency portfolios. Technical rationale and details were given on the design of a stacked DL recurrent neural network, and how its predictive power can be exploited for yielding accurate ex-ante estimates of the return and risk of the portfolio. Results obtained for a set of experiments carried out with real cryptocurrency data have verified the superior performance of their designed deep learning model with respect to other regression techniques.

Bubbles and Crash Analysis

Bubbles and crash analysis is an important researching area in cryptocurrency trading. Phillips and Yu proposed a methodology to test for the presence of cryptocurrency bubble (Cheung et al. 2015), which is extended by Corbet et al. (2018). The method is based on supremum Augmented Dickey-Fuller (SADF) to test for the bubble through the inclusion of a sequence of forwarding recursive right-tailed ADF unit root tests. An extended methodology generalised SADF (GSADF), is also tested for bubbles within cryptocurrency data. The research concluded that there is no clear evidence of a persistent bubble in cryptocurrency markets including Bitcoin or Ethereum. Bouri et al. (2019) date-stamped price explosiveness in seven large cryptocurrencies and revealed evidence of multiple periods of explosivity in all cases. GSADF is used to identify multiple explosiveness periods and logistic regression is employed to uncover evidence of co-explosivity across cryptocurrencies. The results showed that the likelihood of explosive periods in one cryptocurrency generally depends on the presence of explosivity in other cryptocurrencies and points toward a contemporaneous co-explosivity that does not necessarily depend on the size of each cryptocurrency.

Extended research by Phillips et al. (2015a, 2015b) (who applied a recursive augmented Dickey-Fuller algorithm, which is called PSY test) and Enoksen and Landsnes (2019) studied possible predictors of bubble periods of certain cryptocurrencies. The evaluation includes multiple bubble periods in all cryptocurrencies. The result shows that higher volatility and trading volume is positively associated with the presence of bubbles across cryptocurrencies. In terms of bubble prediction, the authors found the probit model to perform better than the linear models.

Phillips and Gorse (2017) used Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and Superiority and Inferiority Ranking (SIR) method to identify bubble-like behaviour in cryptocurrency time series. Considering HMM and SIR method, an epidemic detection mechanism is used in social media to predict cryptocurrency price bubbles, which classify bubbles through epidemic and non-epidemic labels. Experiments have demonstrated a strong relationship between Reddit usage and cryptocurrency prices. This work also provides

some empirical evidence that bubbles mirror the social epidemic-like spread of an investment idea. Caporale and Plastun (2018) examined the price overreactions in the case of cryptocurrency trading. Some parametric and non-parametric tests confirmed the presence of price patterns after overreactions, which identified that the next-day price changes in both directions are bigger than after “normal” days. The results also showed that the overreaction detected in the cryptocurrency market would not give available profit opportunities (possibly due to transaction costs) that cannot be considered as evidence of the EMH. Chaim and Laurini (2018) analysed the high unconditional volatility of cryptocurrency from a standard log-normal stochastic volatility model to discontinuous jumps of volatility and returns. The experiment indicated the importance of incorporating permanent jumps to volatility in cryptocurrency markets.

Cross et al. (2021) investigated the existence and nature of the interdependence of bitcoin, ethereum, litecoin and ripple during the cryptocurrency bubble of 2017–18. A generalized time-varying asset pricing model approach is proposed. The results showed that the negative news impact of the boom period in 2017 for Litecoin and Ripple, which incurred a risk premium for investors, could explain the returns of cryptocurrencies during the 2018 crash.

Extreme condition

Differently from traditional fiat currencies, cryptocurrencies are risky and exhibit heavier tail behaviour. Katsiampa et al. (2018) found extreme dependence between returns and trading volumes. Evidence of asymmetric return-volume relationship in the cryptocurrency market was also found by the experiment, as a result of discrepancies in the correlation between positive and negative return exceedances across all the cryptocurrencies.

There has been a price crash in late 2017 to early 2018 in cryptocurrency (Yaya et al. 2018). Yaya et al. (2018) researched the persistence and dependence of Bitcoin on other popular alternative coins before and after the 2017/18 crash in cryptocurrency markets. The result showed that higher persistence of shocks is expected after the crash due to speculations in the mind of cryptocurrency traders, and more evidence of non-mean reversions, implying chances of further price fall in cryptocurrencies.

Manahov (2021) obtained millisecond data for major cryptocurrencies as well as the cryptocurrency indices Cryptocurrency Index (CRIX) and Cryptocurrencies Index 30 (CCI30) to investigate the relationship between cryptocurrency liquidity, herding behaviour and profitability during extreme price movements (EPM). Millisecond data was obtained for major cryptocurrencies as well as the cryptocurrency indices CRIX and CCI30 to investigate the relationship between cryptocurrency liquidity, herding behaviour and profitability during EPM. The experiments demonstrate that cryptocurrency traders (CTs) can promote EPM and demand liquidity even during periods of maximum EPM. The authors' robustness checks suggest that herding behaviour follows a dynamic pattern with decreasing magnitude over time. Shahzad et al. (2021) investigated the interdependence of median-based and tail-based returns between cryptocurrencies under normal and extreme market conditions. The experiment used daily data and combines LASSO techniques with quantile regression within a network analysis framework. The main results showed that the interdependence of the tails is higher than the median,

especially in the right tail. Fluctuations in market, size and momentum drive return connectivity and clustering coefficients under both normal and extreme market conditions. Chan et al. (2022) examined the extreme dependence and correlation between high-frequency cryptocurrency (Bitcoin and Ethereum, relative to the euro and the US dollar) returns and trading volumes in the extreme tails associated with booms and busts in cryptocurrency markets. Experiments with extreme value theory methods highlight how these results can help traders and practitioners who rely on technical indicators in their trading strategies - especially in times of extreme market volatility or irrational market booms.

Others related to Cryptocurrency Trading

Some other research papers related to cryptocurrency trading treat distributed in market behaviour, regulatory mechanisms and benchmarks.

Krafft et al. (2018) and Yang et al. (2018) analysed market dynamics and behavioural anomalies respectively to understand effects of market behaviour in the cryptocurrency market. Krafft et al. discussed potential ultimate causes, potential behavioural mechanisms and potential moderating contextual factors to enumerate possible influence of GUI and API on cryptocurrency markets. Then they highlighted the potential social and economic impact of human-computer interaction in digital agency design. Yang, on the other hand, applied behavioural theories of asset pricing anomalies in testing 20 market anomalies using cryptocurrency trading data. The results showed that anomaly research focused more on the role of speculators, which gave a new idea to research the momentum and reversal in the cryptocurrency market. Marchesi (2016) implemented a mechanism to form a Bitcoin price and specific behaviour for each type of trader including the initial wealth distribution following Pareto's law, order-based transaction and price settlement mechanism. Specifically, the model reproduced the unit root attributes of the price series, the fat tail phenomenon, the volatility clustering of price returns, the generation of Bitcoins, hashing power and power consumption.

Leclair (2018) and Vidal-Tomás et al. (2019) analysed the existence of herding in the cryptocurrency market. Leclair applied herding methods of Hwang and Salmon (2004) in estimating the market herd dynamics in the CAPM framework. Vidal-Thomás et al. analyse the existence of herds in the cryptocurrency market by returning the cross-sectional standard (absolute) deviations. Both their findings showed significant evidence of market herding in the cryptocurrency market. Makarov and Schoar (2020) studied price impact and arbitrage dynamics in the cryptocurrency market and found that 85% of the variations in bitcoin returns and the idiosyncratic components of order flow (Liu et al. 2021) play an important role in explaining the size of the arbitrage spreads between exchanges. King and Koutmos (2021) examined the extent to which herding and feedback trading behaviour drive the price dynamics of nine major cryptocurrencies. The study documented heterogeneity in the types of feedback trading strategies used by investors in different markets and evidence of herding or "trend chasing" behaviour in some cryptocurrency markets.

In November 2019, Griffin et al. put forward a paper on the thesis of unsupported digital money inflating cryptocurrency prices (Griffin and Shams 2019), which caused a great stir in the academic circle and public opinion. Using algorithms to analyse

Blockchain data, they found that purchases with Tether are timed following market downturns and result in sizeable increases in Bitcoin prices. By mapping the blockchains of Bitcoin and Tether, they were able to establish that one large player on Bitfinex uses Tether to purchase large amounts of Bitcoin when prices are falling and following the prod of Tether.

More researches involved benchmark and development in cryptocurrency market (Hileman and Rauchs 2017; Zhou and Kalev 2019), regulatory framework analysis (Shanaev et al. 2020; Feinstein and Werbach 2021), data mining technology in cryptocurrency trading (Patil et al. 2018), application of efficient market hypothesis in the cryptocurrency market (Sigaki et al. 2019), Decentralized Exchanges (DEXs) and artificial financial markets for studying a cryptocurrency market (Cocco et al. 2017). Hileman and Rauchs (2017) segmented the cryptocurrency industry into four key sectors: exchanges, wallets, payments and mining. They gave a benchmarking study of individuals, data, regulation, compliance practices, costs of firms and a global map of mining in the cryptocurrency market in 2017. Zhou and Kalev (2019) discussed the status and future of computer trading in the largest group of Asia-Pacific economies and then considered algorithmic and high frequency trading in cryptocurrency markets as well. Shanaev et al. (2020) used data on 120 regulatory events to study the implications of cryptocurrency regulation and the results showed that stricter regulation of cryptocurrency is not desirable. Feinstein and Werbach (2021) collected raw data on global cryptocurrency regulations and used them to empirically test the trading activity of many exchanges against key regulatory announcements. No systematic evidence has been found that regulatory measures cause traders to flee or enter the affected regional jurisdictions according to authors' analysis. Patil et al. (2018) used the average absolute error calculated between the actual and predicted values of the market sentiment of different cryptocurrencies on that day as a method for quantifying the uncertainty. They used the comparison of uncertainty quantification methods and opinion mining to analyse current market conditions. Sigaki et al. (2019) used permutation entropy and statistical complexity on the sliding time window returned by the price log to quantify the dynamic efficiency of more than four hundred cryptocurrencies. As a result, the cryptocurrency market showed significant compliance with efficient market assumptions. Aspris et al. (2021) surveyed the rapid rise of DEXs, including automated market makers. The study demonstrated the significant differences in the listing and trading characteristics of these tokens compared to their centralised equivalents. Cocco et al. (2017) described an agent-based artificial cryptocurrency market in which heterogeneous agents buy or sell cryptocurrencies. The proposed simulator is able to reproduce some real statistical properties of price returns observed in the Bitcoin real market. Marko (Ogorevc 2019) considered the future use of cryptocurrencies as money based on the long-term value of cryptocurrencies. Gandal and Halaburda (2014) analysed the influence of network effect on the competition of new cryptocurrency markets. Bariviera and Merediz-Sola (2020) gave a survey based on hybrid analysis, which proposed a methodological hybrid method for a comprehensive literature review and provided the latest technology in the cryptocurrency economics literature.

There also exists some research and papers introducing the basic process and rules of cryptocurrency trading including findings of Hansel (2018), Kate (2018), Garza (2019),

Ward (2018) and Fantazzini (2019). Hansel (2018) introduced the basics of cryptocurrency, Bitcoin and Blockchain, ways to identify the profitable trends in the market, ways to use Altcoin trading platforms such as GDAX and Coinbase, methods of using a crypto wallet to store and protect the coins in their book. Kate (2018) set six steps to show how to start an investment without any technical skills in the cryptocurrency market. This book is an entry-level trading manual for starters learning cryptocurrency trading. Garza (2019) simulated an automatic cryptocurrency trading system, which helps investors limit systemic risks and improve market returns. This paper is an example to start designing an automatic cryptocurrency trading system. Ward (2018) discussed algorithmic cryptocurrency trading using several general algorithms, and modifications thereof including adjusting the parameters used in each strategy, as well as mixing multiple strategies or dynamically changing between strategies. This paper is an example to start algorithmic trading in cryptocurrency market. Fantazzini (2019) introduced the R packages Bitcoin-Finance and bubble, including financial analysis of cryptocurrency markets including Bitcoin.

A community resource, that is, a platform for scholarly communication, about cryptocurrencies and Blockchains is “Blockchain research network”, see (research network 2020).


Summary Analysis of Literature Review

This section analyses the timeline, the research distribution among technology and methods, the research distribution among properties. It also summarises the datasets that have been used in cryptocurrency trading research.

Timeline

Figure 8 shows several major events in cryptocurrency trading. The timeline contains milestone events in cryptocurrency trading and important scientific breakthroughs in this area.

As early as 2009, Satoshi Nakamoto proposed and invented the first decentralised cryptocurrency, Nakamoto (2009). It is considered to be the start of cryptocurrency. In 2010, the first cryptocurrency exchange was founded, which means cryptocurrency would not be an OTC market but traded on exchanges based on an auction market system.




In 2013, Kristoufek (2013) concluded that there is a strong correlation between Bitcoin price and the frequency of “Bitcoin” search queries in Google Trends and Wikipedia. In 2014, Lee and Yang (2014) firstly proposed to check causality from copula-based causality in the quantile method from trading volumes of seven major cryptocurrencies to returns and volatility.

In 2015, Cheah and Fry (2015) discussed the bubble and speculation of Bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. In 2016, Dyhrberg explored Bitcoin volatility using GARCH models combined with gold and US dollars (Dyhrberg 2016).

From late 2016 to 2017, machine learning and deep learning technology were applied in the prediction of cryptocurrency return. In 2016, McNally (2016) predicted Bitcoin price using the LSTM algorithm. Bell (2016); Żbikowski (2016) applied SVM algorithm to predict trends of cryptocurrency price. In 2017, Jiang and Liang (2017) used double Q-network and pre-trained it using DBM for the prediction of cryptocurrencies portfolio weights.

From 2019 to 2020, several research directions including cross asset portfolios (Bedi and Nashier 2020; Castro et al. 2019; Brauneis and Mestel 2019), transaction network applications (Kurbucz 2019; Bouri et al. 2019), machine learning optimisation (Rane and Dhage 2019; Atsalakis et al. 2019; Zhengyang et al. 2019) have been considered in the cryptocurrency trading area.

In 2021, more regulation issues were put out the stage. On 18 May 2021, China banned financial institutions and payment companies from providing services related to cryptocurrency transactions, which led to a sharp drop in the price of bitcoin (Reuters 2021). In June 2021, El Salvador becomes the first country to accept Bitcoin as legal tender (MercoPress 2021).



Research Distribution among Properties

We counted the number of papers covering different aspects of cryptocurrency trading. Figure 9 shows the result. The attributes in the legend are ranked according to the number of papers that specifically test the attribute.

Over one-third (37.67%) of the papers research prediction of returns. Another one-third of papers focus on researching bubbles and extreme conditions and the relationship between pairs and portfolios in cryptocurrency trading. The remaining researching topics (prediction of volatility, trading system, technical trading and others) have roughly one-third share.

Research Distribution among Categories and Technologies


This section introduces and compares categories and technologies in cryptocurrency trading. When papers cover multiple technologies or compare different methods, we draw statistics from different technical perspectives.

Among all the 146 papers, 102 papers (69.86%) cover statistical methods and machine learning categories. These papers basically research technical-level cryptocurrency trading including mathematical modeling and statistics. Other papers related to trading systems on pure technical indicators and introducing the industry and its history are not included in this analysis. Among all 102 papers, 88 papers (86.28%) present statistical methods and technologies in cryptocurrency trading research and 13.72% papers research machine learning applied to cryptocurrency trading (cf. Fig. 10). It is interesting to mention that, there are 17 papers (16.67%) applying and comparing more than one technique in cryptocurrency trading. More specifically, Bach and Kasper (2018), Alessandretti et al. (2018), Vo and Yost-Bremm (2018), Phaladisailoed and Numnonda (2018), Siaminos (2019), Rane and Dhage (2019) used both statistical methods and machine learning methods in cryptocurrency trading.

Table 8 shows the results of search hits in all trading areas (not limited to cryptocurrencies). From the table, we can see that most research findings focused on statistical methods in trading, which means most of the research on traditional markets still focused on using statistical methods for trading. But we observed that machine learning in trading had a higher degree of attention. It might because the traditional technical and fundamental have been arbitrated, so the market has moved in recent years to find new anomalies to exploit. Meanwhile, the results also showed there exist many opportunities for research in the widely studied areas of machine learning applied to trade in cryptocurrency markets (cf. "Opportunities in cryptocurrency trading" section).

Table 8 Search hits of research distribution in all trading areas

Technology Category	Google Scholar hits	Google hits	Arxiv hits	SCOPUS Indexed citations
Statistical methods	1.22M	62M	1240	2790
Machine learning methods	483K	150M	520	1,754



Research Distribution among Statistical methods

As from Fig. 10, we further classified the papers using statistical methods into 6 categories: (i) basic regression methods; (ii) linear classifiers and clustering; (iii) time-series analysis; (iv) decision trees and probabilistic classifiers; (v) modern portfolios theory; and, (vi) Others.

Basic regression methods include regression methods (Linear Regression), function estimation and CGCD method. **Linear Classifiers and Clustering** include SVM and KNN algorithm. **Time-series analysis** include GARCH model, BEKK model, ARIMA model, Wavelet time-scale method. **Decision Trees and probabilistic classifiers** include Boosting Tree, RF model. **Modern portfolio theory** include Value-at-Risk (VaR) theory, expected-shortfall (ES), Markowitz mean-variance framework. **Others** include industry, market data and research analysis in cryptocurrency market.

The figure shows that basic Regression methods and time-series analysis are the most commonly used methods in this area.

Research Distribution among Machine Learning Categories

Papers using machine learning account for 13.7 (c.f Fig. 10) of the total. We further classified these papers into three categories: (vii) ANNs, (viii) LSTM/RNN/GRUs, and (ix) DL/RL.

The figure also shows that methods based on LSTM, RNN and GRU are the most popular in this subfield.

ANNs contains papers researching ANN applications in cryptocurrency trading such as back propagation (BP) NN. **LSTM/RNN/GRUs** include papers using neural networks that exploit the temporal structure of the data, a technology especially suitable for time series prediction and financial trading. **DL/RL** includes papers using Multilayer Neural Networks and Reinforcement Learning. The difference between ANN and DL is that generally, DL refers to an ANN with multiple hidden layers while ANN refers to simple structure neural network contained input layer, hidden layer (one or multiple), and an output layer.

Datasets used in Cryptocurrency Trading

Tables 9–11 show the details for some representative datasets used in cryptocurrency trading research. Table 9 shows the market datasets. They mostly include price, trading volume, order-level information, collected from cryptocurrency exchanges. Table 10 shows the sentiment-based data. Most of the datasets in this table contain market data and media/Internet data with emotional or statistical labels. Table 11 gives two examples of datasets used in the collected papers that are not covered in the first two tables.

The column “Currency” shows the types of cryptocurrencies included; this shows that Bitcoin is the most commonly used currency for cryptocurrency researches. The column “Description” shows a general description and types of datasets. The column “Data Resolution” means latency of the data (e.g., used in the backtest) – this is useful to distinguish between high-frequency trading and low-frequency trading. The column “Time range” shows the time span of datasets used in experiments; this is convenient to distinguish between the current performance in a specific time interval and the long-term effect. We also present how the dataset has been used (i.e., the task), cf. column “Usage”. “Data Sources” gives details on where the data is retrieved from, including cryptocurrency exchanges, aggregated cryptocurrency index and user forums (for sentiment analysis).

Alexander and Dakos (2020) made an investigation of cryptocurrency data as well. They summarised data collected from 152 published and SSRN discussion papers about cryptocurrencies and analysed their data quality. They found that less than half the cryptocurrency papers published since January 2017 employ correct data.

Opportunities in cryptocurrency trading

This section discusses potential opportunities for future research in cryptocurrency trading.

Sentiment-based research As discussed above, there is a substantial body of work, which uses natural language processing technology, for sentiment analysis with the ultimate goal of using news and media contents to improve the performance of cryptocurrency trading strategies.

Possible research directions may lie in a larger volume of media input (e.g., adding video sources) in sentiment analysis; updating baseline natural language processing model to perform more robust text preprocessing; applying neural networks in label training; extending samples in terms of holding period; transaction-fees; opinion dynamics (Zha et al. 2020) and, user reputation research.

Table 9 Datasets (1/3): Market Data

Research source	Description	Currency	Data resolution	Time range	Usage	Data sources
Bouri et al. (2019)	Price, volatility, detrended volume data	Bitcoin, Ethereum, 5 other cryptocurrencies	daily	From: 2013/01/01 To: 2017/12/31	Prediction of volatility/return	CoinMarketCap
Nakano et al. (2018)	High frequency price, volume data	Bitcoin	15min	From: 2016/07/31 To: 2018/01/24	Prediction of return	Poloniex
Bu and Cho (2018))	Three pieces time slice for different research objectives	Bitcoin and seven altcoins	Not mentioned	From: 2016/05/14 To: 2016/07/03 From: 2018/01/01 To: 2018/01/31 From: 2017/07/01 To: 2017/07/31	Maximum profit with DRL	Not mentioned
Sun et al. (2019))	Price, volatility	ETC-USDT, other 12 cryptocurrencies	1 min, 5 min, 30 min, 1 h, one day	From: August 2017 To: December 2018	Prediction of return	Binance, Bitfinex
Guo et al. (2018)	Volatility, order book data	Bitcoin	hourly volatility observations, order book snapshots	From: September 2015 To: April 2017	Prediction of volatility	Not mentioned
Vo and Yost-Bremm (2018)	Timestamps, the OHLC prices etc.	Bitcoin	1 min	From: Starting 2015 To: End 2016	Prediction of return	Bitstamp, Btce, Btcn, Coinbase, Coincheck, and Kraken
Phillips and Gorse (2017))	Price	Bitcoin, other 3 cryptocurrencies	daily	From: April 2015 To: September 2016	Predicting bubbles	CryptoCompare
Yaya et al. (2018))	Price	Bitcoin, other 12 cryptocurrencies	daily	From: 2015/08/07 To: 2018/11/28	Bubbles and crashes	Coin Metrics
Brauneis and Mestel (2019))	Individual price, trading volume	500 most capitalized Cryptocurrencies	daily	From: 2015/01/01 To: 2017/12/31	Portfolios management	CoinMarketCap
Feng et al. (2018))	Order-level USD/BTC trading data	Bitcoin	order-level	From: 2011/09/13 To: 2017/07/17	Trading strategy	Bitstamp
Gradojevic and Tsiakas (2021))	Price, volatility	BTC/USD ETH/USD XRP/USD	1-min 1-H 1-Day 1-Month	From: 2015/01/01 To: 2020/10/15	Prediction of volatility	CryptoCompare

Long-and-short term trading research There are significant differences between long and short time horizons in cryptocurrency trading. In long-term trading, investors might obtain greater profits but have more possibilities to control risk when managing a

Table 10 Datasets (2/3): Sentiment-based data

Research source	Description	Currency	Time range	Usage	Data sources
Kim et al. (2016)	Online cryptocurrency communities data and market data	Bitcoin, Ethereum, Ripple	From: December 2013 To: August, 2016 (Bitcoin) From: August 2015 To: August, 2016 (Ethereum) From: Creation To: August, 2016 (Ripple)	Prediction of fluctuation	Each community's HTML page
Phillips and Gorse (2018)	Social media data and price data	Bitcoin and Ethereum	From: 2016/08/30 To: 2017/08/30	Predict Mutual-Excitation of Cryptocurrency Market Returns	Reddit
Smuts (2019)	Hourly data on price and trading volume and search terms from Google Trends	Bitcoin, Ethereum and their respective price drivers	From: 2017/12/01 To: 2018/06/30	Prediction of price	Google Trends, Telegram chat groups
Lamon et al. (2017)	Daily price data and cryptocurrency related news article headlines	Bitcoin, Ethereum, Litecoin	From: 2017/01/01 To: 2017/11/30	Prediction of price	Kaggle, news headline
Phillips and Gorse (2018))	Price and social media factors from Reddit	Bitcoin, Ethereum, Monero	From: 2010/09/10 To: 2017/05/31 (Bitcoin) Others can reference the paper	Wavelet coherence analysis of price	BraveNewCoin
Kang et al. (2019)	Market data and posts and comments including metadata	Bitcoin	From: 2009/11/22 To: 2018/02/02	Relationships Between Bitcoin Prices and User Groups in Online Community	Bitcoin forum

Table 11 Datasets (3/3): Others

Research source	Description	Time range	Usage	Data sources
Kurbucz (2019); Kondor et al. (2014)	Raw and pre-processed data of all Bitcoin transactions and daily returns	From: 2016/11/09 To: 2018/02/05	Predicting the price of Bitcoin with transaction network	Bitcoin network dataset (MIT 2015)
Bedi and Nashier (2020)	A diversified portfolio including equity, fixed income, real estate, alternative investments, commodities and money market	From: July 2010 To: December 2018	Cross-currency including cryptocurrency researching portfolios	Portfolio sources (Bedi and Nashier 2020)

position for weeks or months. It is mandatory to control for risk on long term strategies due to the increase in the holding period, directly proportional to the risk incurred by the trader. On the other hand, the longer the horizon, the higher the risk and the most important the risk control. The shorter the horizon, the higher the cost and the lower the risk, so cost takes over the design of a strategy. In short-term trading, automated algorithmic trading can be applied when holding periods are less than a week. Researchers can differentiate between long-term and short-term trading in cryptocurrency trading by applying wavelet technology analysing bubble regimes (Phillips and Gorse 2018) and considering price explosiveness (Bouri et al. 2019) hypotheses for short-term and long-term research.

The existing work is mainly about showing the differences between long and short-term cryptocurrency trading. Long-term trading means less time would cost in trend tracing and simple technical indicators in market analysis. Short-term trading can limit overall risk because small positions are used in every transaction. But market noise (interference) and short transaction time might cause some stress in short term trading. It might also be interesting to explore the extraction of trading signals, time series research, application to portfolio management, the relationship between a huge market crash and small price drop, derivative pricing in cryptocurrency market, etc.

Correlation between cryptocurrency and others By the effects of monetary policy and business cycles that are not controlled by the central bank, cryptocurrency is always negatively correlated with overall financial market trends. There have been some studies discussing correlations between cryptocurrencies and other financial markets (Kang et al. 2019; Castro et al. 2019), which can be used to predict the direction of the cryptocurrency market.

Considering the characteristics of cryptocurrency, the correlation between cryptocurrency and other assets still requires further research. Possible breakthroughs might be achieved with principal component analysis, the relationship between cryptocurrency and other currencies in extreme conditions (i.e., financial collapse).

Bubbles and crash research. To discuss the high volatility and return of cryptocurrencies, current research has focussed on bubbles of cryptocurrency markets (Cheung et al. 2015), correlation between cryptocurrency bubbles and indicators like volatility index (VIX) (Enoksen and Landsnes 2019) (which is a “panic index” to measure the implied volatility of S&P500 Index Options), spillover effects in cryptocurrency market (Luu Duc Huynh 2019).

Additional research for bubbles and crashes in cryptocurrency trading could include a connection between the process of bubble generation and financial collapse and conducting a coherent analysis (coherent process analysis from the formation of bubbles to aftermath analysis of bubble burst), analysis of bubble theory by Microeconomics, trying other physical or industrial models in analysing bubbles in cryptocurrency market (i.e., *Omori law* (Weber et al. 2007)), discussing the supply and demand relationship of cryptocurrency in bubble analysis (like using supply and demand graph to simulate the generation of bubbles and simulate the bubble burst).

Game theory and agent-based analysis Applying game theory or agent-based modelling in trading is a hot research direction in the traditional financial market. It might also be interesting to apply this method to trading in cryptocurrency markets.

Public nature of Blockchain technology Investigations on the connections between the formation of a given currency's transaction network and its price has increased rapidly in recent years; the growing attention on user identification (Juhász et al. 2018) also strongly supports this direction. With an in-depth understanding of these networks, we may identify new features in price prediction and may be closer to understanding financial bubbles in cryptocurrency trading.

Balance between the opening of trading research literature and the fading of alphas McLean et al. McLean and Pontiff (2016) pointed out that investors learn about mispricing in stock markets from academic publications. Similarly, cryptocurrency market predictability could also be affected by research papers in the area. A possible attempt is to try new pricing methods applying real-time market changes. Considering the proportion of informed traders increasing in the cryptocurrency market in the pricing process is another breaking point (looking for a balance between alpha trading and trading research literature).

Conclusions

We provided a comprehensive overview and analysis of the research work on cryptocurrency trading. This survey presented a nomenclature of the definitions and current state of the art. The paper provides a comprehensive survey of 146 cryptocurrency trading papers and analyses the research distribution that characterise the cryptocurrency trading literature. Research distribution among properties and categories/technologies are analysed in this survey respectively. We further summarised the datasets used for experiments and analysed the research trends and opportunities in cryptocurrency trading. Future research directions and opportunities are discussed in "[Opportunities in cryptocurrency trading](#)" section.

We expect this survey to be beneficial to academics (e.g., finance researchers) and quantitative traders alike. The survey represents a quick way to get familiar with the literature on cryptocurrency trading and can motivate more researchers to contribute to the pressing problems in the area, for example along the lines we have identified.

Authors' contribution

FF carried out the research papers' collection and analysis, and drafted the manuscript. CV suggested some analysis of the literature and contributed to writing and organizing the manuscript. MB, HK, LK, DMR, FW conceived of the study, especially in connection with the "future research opportunities" and helped draft the manuscript. LL had the idea for the topic of this paper and participated in the design of the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request. Some datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in Google Scholar, arXiv and SSRN.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 14 January 2021 Accepted: 2 December 2021

Published online: 07 February 2022

References

- 3commas: 3Commas Smart Trading terminal and auto trading bots. <https://3commas.io/>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Abay NC, Akcora CG, Gel YR, Kantarcioglu M, Islambekov UD, Tian Y, Thuraisingham B (2019) Chainnet: Learning on blockchain graphs with topological features. In: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), pp 946–951. IEEE
- Adeyanju, C.: What crypto exchanges do to comply with KYC, AML and CFT regulations. <https://cointelegraph.com/news/what-crypto-exchanges-do-to-comply-with-kyc-aml-and-cft-regulations>. [Online, Accessed January 11, 2020] (2019)
- Ahamad S, Nair M, Varghese B (2013) A survey on crypto currencies. In: 4th International Conference on Advances in Computer Science, AETACS, pp. 42–48. Citeseer
- Alessandretti L, ElBahrawy A, Aiello LM, Baronchelli A (2018) Anticipating cryptocurrency prices using machine learning. Complexity
- Alexander C, Dakos M (2020) A critical investigation of cryptocurrency data and analysis. *Quantitative Finance* 20(2):173–188
- Al-Yahyaee KH, Mensi W, Ko H-U, Yoon S-M, Kang SH (2020) Why cryptocurrency markets are inefficient: The impact of liquidity and volatility. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 52:101168
- Antipova V (2019) Building and testing global investment portfolios using alternative asset classes. Master's thesis, Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania
- Ardia D, Bluteau K, Rüede M (2019) Regime changes in bitcoin garch volatility dynamics. *Financ Res Lett* 29:266–271
- Aspris A, Foley S, Svec J, Wang L (2021) Decentralized exchanges: The “wild west” of cryptocurrency trading. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 77:101845
- Atsalakis GS, Atsalaki IG, Pasiouras F, Zopounidis C (2019) Bitcoin price forecasting with neuro-fuzzy techniques. *Eur J Oper Res* 276(2):770–780
- Attanasio G, Cagliero L, Garza P, Baralis E (2019) Quantitative cryptocurrency trading: exploring the use of machine learning techniques. In: *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Data Science for Macro-modeling with Financial and Economic Datasets*, p. 1. ACM
- Authority, F.C.: Contract for differences. <https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/contracts-for-difference>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)
- Bach WG, Kasper L (2018) On machine learning based cryptocurrency trading. Master's thesis, Aalborg University, Denmark
- Badenhorst JJ et al (2019) Effect of bitcoin spot and derivative trading volumes on price volatility. PhD thesis, University of Pretoria
- Bai S, Robinson F (2019) Automated triangular arbitrage: A trading algorithm for foreign exchange on a cryptocurrency market. Bachelor's thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
- Baker SR, Bloom N, Davis SJ (2016) Measuring economic policy uncertainty. *Q J Econ* 131(4):1593–1636
- BAKKT: BAKKT markets. <https://www.bakkt.com/index>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- BAKKT: BAKKT terms of use. <https://www.bakkt.com/terms-of-use>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bariviera AF, Merediz-Sola I (2020) Where do we stand in cryptocurrencies economic research? a survey based on hybrid analysis. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2003.09723](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.09723)
- Barnwal A, Bharti H, Ali A, Singh V (2019) Stacking with neural network for cryptocurrency investment. arXiv preprint [arXiv:1902.07855](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07855)
- Bartolucci S, Destefanis G, Ortu M, Uras N, Marchesi M, Tonelli R (2019) The butterfly affect: impact of development practices on cryptocurrency prices
- Baur DG, Dimpfl T, Kuck K (2018) Bitcoin, gold and the us dollar-a replication and extension. *Financ Res Lett* 25:103–110
- Bauriya A, Tikone A, Nandgaonkar P, Sakure KS (2019) Real-time cryptocurrency trading system. *Int Res J Eng Technol (IJRET)* 06
- Bedi P, Nashier T (2020) On the investment credentials of bitcoin: a cross-currency perspective. *Res Int Bus Financ* 51:101087
- Bell T (2016) Bitcoin trading agents. University of Southampton
- Ben-Akiva M, McFadden D, Train K, Walker J, Bhat C, Bierlaire M, Bolduc D, Boersch-Supan A, Brownstone D, Bunch DS et al (2002) Hybrid choice models: progress and challenges. *Mark Lett* 13(3):163–175
- Beneki C, Koulis A, Kyriazis NA, Papadamou S (2019) Investigating volatility transmission and hedging properties between bitcoin and ethereum. *Res Int Bus Financ* 48:219–227
- Binance: Binance Partners with Coinfirm to Protect the Global Cryptocurrency Economy and Ensure Compliance with FATF AML Rules. <https://www.binance.com/en/blog/386484403820867584/Binance-Partners-with-Coinfirm-to-Protect-the-Global-Cryptocurrency-Economy-and-Ensure-Compliance-with-FATF-AML-Rules>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- Binance: Binance Review 2020: Pros, Cons, Fees, Features, and Safety. <https://insidebitcoins.com/cryptocurrency-exchanges/binance-review/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitfinex: Bitfinex markets. <https://www.bitfinex.com/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitfinex: Bitfinex terms of service. <https://www.bitfinex.com/legal/terms>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitfinex: New York Court Rules That State Attorney Has Jurisdiction Over Bitfinex. <https://cointelegraph.com/news/new-york-court-rules-that-state-attorney-has-jurisdiction-over-bitfinex>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- Bitmex: Beginner's Guide to BitMEX: Complete Review. <https://blockonomi.com/bitmex-review/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitmex: Bitmex. <https://www.bitmex.com/register>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitstamp: Bitstamp Review 2020. <https://www.fxempire.com/crypto/exchange/bitstamp/review>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitstamp: Bitstamp who we are. <https://www.bitstamp.net/about-us/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bitstamp: Terms of Use. <https://www.bitstamp.net/terms-of-use/sa>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)

- Blackbird: Blackbird Bitcoin Arbitrage: a long/short market-neutral strategy. <https://github.com/butor/blackbird>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Bloomberg: Coinbase Inc. <https://www.bloomberg.com/profile/company/0776164D:US>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Bodart V, Candelon B (2009) Evidence of interdependence and contagion using a frequency domain framework. *Emerg Mark Rev* 10(2):140–150
- Bouri E, Jalkh N, Molnár P, Roubaud D (2017) Bitcoin for energy commodities before and after the December 2013 crash: diversifier, hedge or safe haven? *Appl Econ* 49(50):5063–5073
- Bouri E, Lau CKM, Lucey B, Roubaud D (2019) Trading volume and the predictability of return and volatility in the cryptocurrency market. *Financ Res Lett* 29:340–346
- Bouri E, Shahzad SJH, Roubaud D (2019) Co-explosivity in the cryptocurrency market. *Financ Res Lett* 29:178–183
- Bouri E, Lucey B, Roubaud D (2020) The volatility surprise of leading cryptocurrencies: Transitory and permanent linkages. *Financ Res Lett* 33:101188
- Bouri E, Roubaud D, Shahzad SJH (2020) Do bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies jump together? *Q Rev Econ Finance* 76:396–409
- Bouri E, Gupta R (2019) Predicting bitcoin returns: Comparing the roles of newspaper-and internet search-based measures of uncertainty. *Finance Res Lett* 101398
- Bouri E, Vo XV, Saeed T (2020) Return equicorrelation in the cryptocurrency market: analysis and determinants. *Finance Research Letters*, 101497
- Brauneis A, Mestel R (2019) Cryptocurrency-portfolios in a mean-variance framework. *Financ Res Lett* 28:259–264
- Brunnermeier MK, Oehmke M (2013) Bubbles, financial crises, and systemic risk. In: *Handbook of the Economics of Finance* vol. 2, pp 1221–1288. Elsevier
- Bu S-J, Cho S-B (2018) Learning optimal q-function using deep boltzmann machine for reliable trading of cryptocurrency. In: *International Conference on Intelligent Data Engineering and Automated Learning*, pp 468–480. Springer
- Calo B, Johnson W (2002) Global trading system. Google Patents. US Patent App. 09/769,036
- Capfolio: Capfolio cryptocurrency trading platform. <https://www.capfol.io/>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Caporale GM, Plastun A (2018) Price overreactions in the cryptocurrency market. CESifo Working Paper 6861, Munich. <http://hdl.handle.net/10419/174984>
- Caporale GM, Plastun A (2019) The day of the week effect in the cryptocurrency market. *Finance Res Lett* 31
- Caporale GM, Gil-Alana L, Plastun A (2018) Persistence in the cryptocurrency market. *Res Int Bus Financ* 46:141–148
- Caporin M, McAleer M (2012) Do we really need both bekk and dcc? a tale of two multivariate garch models. *J Econ Surv* 26(4):736–751
- Castro JG, Tito EAH, Brandão LET, Gomes LL (2019) Crypto-assets portfolio optimization under the omega measure. *Eng Econom* 1–21
- Catalyst: An Algorithmic Trading Library for Crypto-Assets in Python. <https://github.com/enigmampc/catalyst>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- CBOE: CBOE history. <http://www.cboe.com/aboutcboe/history>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- CBOE: CBOE products. <https://www.cboe.com>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- CBOE: CFE Regulation. <https://www.cboe.com/aboutcboe/legal-regulatory/departamental-overviews/cfe-regulation>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- Ccxt: CCXT - Cryptocurrency eXchange Trading Library. <https://github.com/ccxt/ccxt>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Chaim P, Laurini MP (2018) Volatility and return jumps in bitcoin. *Econ Lett* 173:158–163
- Chaim P, Laurini MP (2019) Nonlinear dependence in cryptocurrency markets. *N Am J Econ Finance* 48:32–47
- Chan S, Chu J, Zhang Y, Nadarajah S (2022) An extreme value analysis of the tail relationships between returns and volumes for high frequency cryptocurrencies. *Res Int Bus Financ* 59:101541
- Chang C-C, Hsieh P-F, Wang Y-H (2015) Sophistication, sentiment, and misreaction. *J Financ Quant Anal* 50(4):903–928
- Charles A, Darné O et al (2019) Volatility estimation for cryptocurrencies: further evidence with jumps and structural breaks. *Econ Bull* 39(2):954–968
- Cheah E-T, Fry J (2015) Speculative bubbles in bitcoin markets? an empirical investigation into the fundamental value of bitcoin. *Econ Lett* 130:32–36
- Cheng H-P, Yen K-C (2020) The relationship between the economic policy uncertainty and the cryptocurrency market. *Financ Res Lett* 35:101308
- Cheng J, Dong L, Lapata M (2016) Long short-term memory-networks for machine reading. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.06733*
- Cheung A, Roca E, Su J-J (2015) Crypto-currency bubbles: an application of the phillips-shi-yu (2013) methodology on mt. gox bitcoin prices. *Appl Econ* 47(23):2348–2358
- Choi B (2012) ARMA model identification. Springer, New York
- Chung J, Gulcehre C, Cho K, Bengio Y (2014) Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3555*
- CME: CME Cryptocurrency products. <https://www.cmegroup.com/trading/cryptocurrency-indices.html>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- CME: CME Group Rules and Regulation Overview. <https://www.cmegroup.com/education/courses/market-regulation/overview/cme-group-rules-and-regulation-overview.html>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- CME: CME groups overview. <https://www.cmegroup.com/company/history/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Cocco L, Concas G, Marchesi M (2017) Using an artificial financial market for studying a cryptocurrency market. *J Econ Interac Coord* 12(2):345–365
- Cocco L, Marchesi M (2016) Modeling and simulation of the economics of mining in the bitcoin market. *PloS one* 11(10)
- Coinbase: Coinbase Supported cryptocurrencies. <https://help.coinbase.com/en/coinbase/getting-started/general-crypto-education/supported-cryptocurrencies.html>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)

- Coinbase: Our path to listing SEC-regulated crypto securities. <https://blog.coinbase.com/our-path-to-listing-sec-regulated-crypto-securities-a1724e13bb5a>. [Online, Accessed February 11, 2020] (2020)
- CoinMarketCap: Top 100 cryptocurrencies by market capitalization (2019). Accessed 20 Dec 2019
- CoinMarketCap: Percentage of Total Market Capitalization. <https://coinmarketcap.com/charts/#dominance-percentage>. [Online, Accessed January 11, 2020] (2020)
- Colianni S, Rosales S, Signorotti M (2015) Algorithmic trading of cryptocurrency based on twitter sentiment analysis. CS229 Project, 1–5
- Conrad C, Custovic A, Ghysels E (2018) Long-and short-term cryptocurrency volatility components: A garch-midas analysis. *J Risk Financ Manag* 11(2):23
- Corbet S, Meegan A, Larkin C, Lucey B, Yarovaya L (2018) Exploring the dynamic relationships between cryptocurrencies and other financial assets. *Econ Lett* 165:28–34
- Corbet S, Lucey B, Yarovaya L (2018) Datestamping the bitcoin and ethereum bubbles. *Financ Res Lett* 26:81–88
- Corbet S, Eraslan V, Lucey B, Sensoy A (2019) The effectiveness of technical trading rules in cryptocurrency markets. *Financ Res Lett* 31:32–37
- Corbet S, Lucey B, Urquhart A, Yarovaya L (2019) Cryptocurrencies as a financial asset: a systematic analysis. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 62:182–199
- Council FB (2021) The Main Roadblocks To Crypto Moving Mainstream. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinesscouncil/2021/06/23/the-main-roadblocks-to-crypto-moving-mainstream/?sh=2e629de922b9>. [Online, Accessed: June 23, 2021]
- Cross JL, Hou C, Trinh K (2021) Returns, volatility and the cryptocurrency bubble of 2017–18. *Econ Model* 105643
- Cryptosignal: Automated Crypto Trading and Technical Analysis (TA) Bot. <https://github.com/CryptoSignal/crypto-signal>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Ctubio: Ctubio - Cryptocurrency trading bot. <https://github.com/ctubio/Krypto-trading-bot>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Delfabbro P, King D, Williams J, Georgiou N (2021) Cryptocurrency trading, gambling and problem gambling. *Addict Behav* 122:107021
- Delfabbro P, King DL, Williams J (2021) The psychology of cryptocurrency trading: Risk and protective factors. *J Behav Addict*
- Diebold FX, Yilmaz K (2014) On the network topology of variance decompositions: measuring the connectedness of financial firms. *J Econom* 182(1):119–134
- Domínguez MA, Lobato IN (2003) Testing the martingale difference hypothesis. *Econom Rev* 22(4):351–377
- Doran MD (2014) A forensic look at bitcoin cryptocurrency. PhD thesis, Utica College
- Drożdż S, Minati L, Oświecimka P, Stanuszek M, Watorek M (2020) Competition of noise and collectivity in global cryptocurrency trading: route to a self-contained market. *Chaos: Interdiscip J Nonlinear Sci* 30(2):023122
- Dutta A, Kumar S, Basu M (2020) A gated recurrent unit approach to bitcoin price prediction. *J Risk Financ Manag* 13(2):23
- Dyhrberg AH (2016) Bitcoin, gold and the dollar—a garch volatility analysis. *Financ Res Lett* 16:85–92
- Easley D, Engle RF, O'Hara M, Wu L (2008) Time-varying arrival rates of informed and uninformed trades. *J Financ Econom* 6(2):171–207
- Elliott RJ, Van Der Hoek* J, Malcolm WP (2005) Pairs trading. *Quant Finance* 5(3):271–276
- Engle R (2002) Dynamic conditional correlation: a simple class of multivariate generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity models. *J Bus Econ Stat* 20(3):339–350
- Engle RF, Kroner KF (1995) Multivariate simultaneous generalized arch. *Econom Theor* 11(1):122–150
- Enoksen FA, Landsnes CJ (2019) What can predict bubbles in cryptocurrency prices? Master's thesis, University of Stavanger, Norway
- Escanciano JC, Velasco C (2006) Generalized spectral tests for the martingale difference hypothesis. *J Econom* 134(1):151–185
- Estalayo I, Del Ser J, Osaba E, Bilbao MN, Muhammad K, Gálvez A, Iglesias A (2019) Return, diversification and risk in cryptocurrency portfolios using deep recurrent neural networks and multi-objective evolutionary algorithms. In: 2019 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC), pp 755–761. IEEE
- Fang F, Chung W, Ventre C, Basios M, Kanthan L, Li L, Wu F (2021) Ascertaining price formation in cryptocurrency markets with machine learning. *Eur J Finance* 1–23
- Fang F, Ventre C, Li L, Kanthan L, Wu F, Basios M (2020) Better model selection with a new definition of feature importance. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2009.07708](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07708)
- Fantazzini D (2019) Quantitative finance with r and cryptocurrencies. Amazon KDP, ISBN-13, 978–1090685315
- Fantazzini D, Zimin S (2020) A multivariate approach for the simultaneous modelling of market risk and credit risk for cryptocurrencies. *J Ind Bus Econ* 47(1):19–69
- Farrell R (2015) An analysis of the cryptocurrency industry
- Fasanya IO, Oyewole O, Odudu T (2020) Returns and volatility spillovers among cryptocurrency portfolios. *Int J Manag Finance*
- Feinstein BD, Werbach K (2021) The impact of cryptocurrency regulation on trading markets. *Journal of Financial Regulation* 7(1):48–99
- Feng W, Wang Y, Zhang Z (2018) Informed trading in the bitcoin market. *Financ Res Lett* 26:63–70
- Fil M (2019) Pairs trading in cryptocurrency markets. Univerzita Karlova, Fakulta sociálních věd
- Flood R, Hodrick RJ, Kaplan P (1986) An evaluation of recent evidence on stock market bubbles. National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge Mass, USA
- Flori A (2019) News and subjective beliefs: a bayesian approach to bitcoin investments. *Res Int Bus Financ* 50:336–356
- Forbes: Here's What Caused Bitcoin's 'Extreme' Price Plunge. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/billybambrough/2020/03/19/major-bitcoin-exchange-bitmex-has-a-serious-problem/?sh=1be57a0d4f7d>. [Online, Accessed: March 19, 2020] (2021)

- Forbes: Is The Crypto Market Maturing? An Analysis For Entrepreneurs. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/theyec/2021/06/01/is-the-crypto-market-maturing-an-analysis-for-entrepreneurs/?sh=1170160bba22>. [Online, Accessed: June 1, 2021] (2021)
- Forbes: More Than \$600 Million Stolen In Ethereum And Other Cryptocurrencies-Marking One Of Crypto's Biggest Hacks Ever. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/jonathanponciano/2021/08/10/more-than-600-million-stolen-in-ethereum-and-other-cryptocurrencies-marking-one-of-cryptos-biggest-hacks-ever/?sh=502ce7387f62>. [Online, Accessed: August 10, 2021] (2021)
- Forbes: Why Buffett Sees Bitcoin Bubble. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnwasik/2017/11/06/why-buffett-sees-bitcoin-bubble/?sh=196c2a8062a8>. [Online, Accessed: November 6, 2017] (2017)
- Freqtrade: Freqtrade. <https://github.com/freqtrade/freqtrade>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Friedl MA, Brodley CE (1997) Decision tree classification of land cover from remotely sensed data. *Remote Sens Environ* 61(3):399–409
- Friedman JH (2001) Greedy function approximation: a gradient boosting machine. *Annals of statistics*, 1189–1232
- Friedman J, Tibshirani R (1984) The monotone smoothing of scatterplots. *Technometrics* 26(3):243–250
- FT: Bitcoin: too good to miss or a bubble ready to burst? <https://www.ft.com/crypto/>. [Online, Accessed: November 9, 2021] (2021)
- Gandal N, Halaburda H (2014) Competition in the cryptocurrency market. CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP10157
- Garcia D, Schweitzer F (2015) Social signals and algorithmic trading of bitcoin. *R Soc Open Sci* 2(9):150288
- Garza P (2019) Formal automatic trading in the cryptocurrency era. PhD thesis, Politecnico di Torino
- Gatev E, Goetzmann WN, Rouwenhorst KG (2006) Pairs trading: performance of a relative-value arbitrage rule. *Rev Financ Stud* 19(3):797–827
- Gerritsen DF, Bouri E, Ramezanifar E, Roubaud D (2019) The profitability of technical trading rules in the bitcoin market. *Finance Res Lett*
- Golang: A golang implementation of a console-based trading bot for cryptocurrency exchanges. <https://github.com/sanial/golang-crypto-trading-bot>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Gradojevic N, Tsiakas I (2021) Volatility cascades in cryptocurrency trading. *J Empir Financ* 62:252–265
- Grayblock: Elliptic-Curve Cryptography. <https://medium.com/coinmonks/elliptic-curve-cryptography-6de8fc748b8b>. [Online, Accessed December 29, 2019] (2018)
- Griffin JM, Shams A (2019) Is bitcoin really un-tethered? Available at SSRN 3195066
- Grobys K, Ahmed S, Sapkota N (2020) Technical trading rules in the cryptocurrency market. *Financ Res Lett* 32:101396
- Gu S, Lillicrap T, Sutskever I, Levine S (2016) Continuous deep q-learning with model-based acceleration. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp 2829–2838
- Guo T, Bifet A, Antulov-Fantulin N (2018) Bitcoin volatility forecasting with a glimpse into buy and sell orders. In: *2018 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, pp. 989–994. IEEE
- Ha S, Moon B-R (2018) Finding attractive technical patterns in cryptocurrency markets. *Memetic Comput* 10(3):301–306
- Hale G, Krishnamurthy A, Kudlyak M, Shultz P et al (2018) How futures trading changed bitcoin prices. *FRBSF Econ Lett* 12
- Hansel D (2018) Cryptocurrency trading: How to make money by trading bitcoin and other cryptocurrency (volume 2)
- Harwick C (2016) Cryptocurrency and the problem of intermediation. *Independ Rev* 20(4):569–588
- Henderson P, Islam R, Bachman P, Pineau J, Precup D, Meger D (2018) Deep reinforcement learning that matters. In: *Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*
- Hileman G, Rauchs M (2017) Global cryptocurrency benchmarking study. Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance **33**
- Holmes G, Donkin A, Witten IH (1994) Weka: A machine learning workbench. In: *Proceedings of ANZIS'94-Australian New Zealand Intelligent Information Systems Conference*, pp 357–361. IEEE
- Hrytsiuk P, Babych T, Bachyshyna L (2019) Cryptocurrency portfolio optimization using value-at-risk measure. In: *Strategies, Models and Technologies of Economic Systems Management (SMTESM 2019)*. Atlantis Press
- Huan Y, Wu F, Basios M, Kanthan L, Li L, Xu B (2020) leo: Intelligent evolutionary optimisation for hyperparameter tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06390*
- Hudson R, Urquhart A (2019) Technical analysis and cryptocurrencies. Available at SSRN 3387950
- Hultman H (2018) Volatility forecasting an empirical study on bitcoin using garch and stochastic volatility models. Master's thesis, Lund University, Sweden
- Hutto CJ, Gilbert E (2014) Vader: A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text. In: *Eighth International AAAI Conference on Weblogs and Social Media*
- Hwang S, Salmon M (2004) Market stress and herding. *J Empir Financ* 11(4):585–616
- IntelliPaat: Supervised Learning vs Unsupervised Learning vs Reinforcement Learning. <https://intellipaat.com/blog/supervised-learning-vs-unsupervised-learning-vs-reinforcement-learning/>. [Online, Accessed: September 14, 2021] (2021)
- Ji Q, Bouri E, Lau CKM, Roubaud D (2019) Dynamic connectedness and integration in cryptocurrency markets. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 63:257–272
- Jiang Z, Liang J (2017) Cryptocurrency portfolio management with deep reinforcement learning. In: *2017 Intelligent Systems Conference (IntelliSys)*, pp 905–913. IEEE
- Jianliang M, Haikun S, Ling B (2009) The application on intrusion detection based on k-means cluster algorithm. In: *2009 International Forum on Information Technology and Applications*, vol 1, pp 150–152. IEEE
- Ji Q, Bouri E, Kristoufek L, Lucey B (2019) Realised volatility connectedness among bitcoin exchange markets. *Finance Res Lett* 101391
- Johar S (2018) How do blockchain mining and transactions work explained in 7 simple steps. <https://blog.goodaudience.com/how-a-miner-adds-transactions-to-the-blockchain-in-seven-steps-856053271476>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020]
- Juchli M (2018) Limit order placement optimization with deep reinforcement learning: Learning from patterns in cryptocurrency market data. Master's thesis, TU Delft Electrical Engineering, Netherlands
- Juhász PL, Stéger J, Kondor D, Vattay G (2018) A bayesian approach to identify bitcoin users. *PloS one* 13(12)
- Kaal WA (2020) Digital asset market evolution. *J Corp Law* 20–02

- Kajtazi A, Moro A (2019) The role of bitcoin in well diversified portfolios: a comparative global study. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 61:143–157
- Kakushadze Z (2018) Cryptoasset factor models. *Algorithmic Finance* (Preprint), 1–18
- Kakushadze Z (2016) 101 formulaic alphas. *Wilmott* 2016(84):72–81
- Kalchbrenner N, Grefenstette E, Blunsom P (2014) A convolutional neural network for modelling sentences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.2188*
- Kamrat S, Suesangiamsakul N, Marukatat R (2018) Technical analysis for cryptocurrency trading on mobile phones. In: 2018 3rd Technology Innovation Management and Engineering Science International Conference (TIMES-iCON), pp. 1–4. IEEE
- Kang SH, McIver RP, Hernandez JA (2019) Co-movements between bitcoin and gold: A wavelet coherence analysis. *Physica A: Stat Mech Appl* 120888
- Kang K, Choo J, Kim Y (2019) Whose opinion matters? analyzing relationships between bitcoin prices and user groups in online community. *Social Science Computer Review*, 0894439319840716
- Kat HM, Heynen RC (1994) Volatility prediction: A comparison of the stochastic volatility, garch (1, 1) and egarch (1, 1) models. *J Derivat* 2(2)
- Kate C (2018) Cryptocurrency trading for beginners: 6-steps action plan to your first investment
- Katsiampa P (2019) An empirical investigation of volatility dynamics in the cryptocurrency market. *Res Int Bus Finance*
- Katsiampa P, Corbet S, Lucey BM (2018) Volatility spillover effects in leading cryptocurrencies: A bekk-mgarch analysis. Available at SSRN 3232912
- Katsiampa P, Gkillas K, Longin F (2018) Cryptocurrency market activity during extremely volatile periods. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3220781>
- Kaufman PJ (2013) *Trading Systems and Methods*,+ Website, vol 591. Wiley, Hoboken
- Keerthi SS, Shevade SK, Bhattacharyya C, Murthy KRK (2001) Improvements to Platt's smo algorithm for svm classifier design. *Neural Comput* 13(3):637–649
- Khuntia S, Pattanayak J (2018) Adaptive market hypothesis and evolving predictability of bitcoin. *Econ Lett* 167:26–28
- Kim YB, Kim JG, Kim W, Im JH, Kim TH, Kang SJ, Kim CH (2016) Predicting fluctuations in cryptocurrency transactions based on user comments and replies. *PLoS ONE* 11(8):0161197
- Kim K, Kim J, Rinaldo A (2018) Time series featurization via topological data analysis: an application to cryptocurrency trend forecasting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.02987*
- King T, Koutmos D (2021) Herding and feedback trading in cryptocurrency markets. *Ann Oper Res* 300(1):79–96
- Koker TE, Koutmos D (2020) Cryptocurrency trading using machine learning. *J Risk Financ Manag* 13(8):178
- Kondor D, Csabai I, Szüle J, Pósfai M, Vattay G (2014) Inferring the interplay between network structure and market effects in bitcoin. *New J Phys* 16(12):125003
- Kondor D, Csabai I, Szüle J, Pósfai M, Vattay G (2014) Inferring the interplay between network structure and market effects in bitcoin. *New J Phys* 16(12):125003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/12/125003>
- Kondor D, Pósfai M, Csabai I, Vattay G (2014) Do the rich get richer? An empirical analysis of the bitcoin transaction network. *PLoS one* 9(2):
- Kou G, Peng Y, Wang G (2014) Evaluation of clustering algorithms for financial risk analysis using mcdm methods. *Inf Sci* 275:1–12
- Kou G, Akdeniz ÖO, Dinçer H, Yüksel S (2021) Fintech investments in European banks: a hybrid it2 fuzzy multidimensional decision-making approach. *Financ Innov* 7(1):1–28
- Kou G, Xu Y, Peng Y, Shen F, Chen Y, Chang K, Kou S (2021) Bankruptcy prediction for smes using transactional data and two-stage multiobjective feature selection. *Decis Support Syst* 140:113429
- Krafft PM, Della Penna N, Pentland AS (2018) An experimental study of cryptocurrency market dynamics. In: *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, p. 605. ACM
- Kristjanpoller W, Bouri E, Takaishi T (2020) Cryptocurrencies and equity funds: Evidence from an asymmetric multifractal analysis. *Physica A* 545:123711
- Kristoufek L (2013) Bitcoin meets google trends and wikipedia: Quantifying the relationship between phenomena of the internet era. *Sci Rep* 3:3415
- Kumar D, Rath S (2020) Predicting the trends of price for ethereum using deep learning techniques. In: *Artificial Intelligence and Evolutionary Computations in Engineering Systems*, pp 103–114. Springer
- Kurbucz MT (2019) Predicting the price of bitcoin by the most frequent edges of its transaction network. *Econ Lett* 184:108655
- Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, Li W et al (2005) *Applied linear statistical models*, vol 5. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Kwon D-H, Kim J-B, Heo J-S, Kim C-M, Han Y-H (2019) Time series classification of cryptocurrency price trend based on a recurrent lstm neural network. *J Inform Process Syst* 15(3)
- Kyriazis NA (2019) A survey on efficiency and profitable trading opportunities in cryptocurrency markets. *J Risk Financ Manag* 12(2):67
- Lamon C, Nielsen E, Redondo E (2017) Cryptocurrency price prediction using news and social media sentiment. *SMU Data Sci Rev* 1(3):1–22
- Lawrence S, Giles CL, Tsoi AC, Back AD (1997) Face recognition: a convolutional neural-network approach. *IEEE Trans Neural Networks* 8(1):98–113
- Leclair EM (2018) Herding in the cryptocurrency market. *Econ 5029 final research*, Carleton University, Canada
- Lee T-H, Yang W (2014) Granger-causality in quantiles between financial markets: Using copula approach. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 33:70–78
- Leirvik T (2021) Cryptocurrency returns and the volatility of liquidity. *Finance Res Lett* 102031
- Li TN, Tourin A (2016) Optimal pairs trading with time-varying volatility. *Int J Financ Eng* 3(03):1650023
- Li TR, Chamrajnagar A, Fong X, Rizik N, Fu F (2019) Sentiment-based prediction of alternative cryptocurrency price fluctuations using gradient boosting tree model. *Front Phys* 7:98
- Liaw A, Wiener M et al (2002) Classification and regression by randomforest. *R news* 2(3):18–22

- Li T, Kou G, Peng Y, Philip SY (2021) An integrated cluster detection, optimization, and interpretation approach for financial data. *IEEE Trans Cybern*
- Lintilhac PS, Tourin A (2017) Model-based pairs trading in the bitcoin markets. *Quant Finance* 17(5):703–716
- Liu W (2019) Portfolio diversification across cryptocurrencies. *Financ Res Lett* 29:200–205
- Liu B, Polukarov M, Ventre C, Li L, Kanthan L (2021) Agent-based markets: Equilibrium strategies and robustness. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM International Conference on AI in Finance*
- Liu B, Polukarov M, Ventre C, Li L, Kanthan L (2021) Call markets with adaptive clearing intervals. In: *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems*, pp. 1587–1589
- Livieris IE, Pintelas E, Pintelas P (2020) A cnn-lstm model for gold price time-series forecasting. *Neural Comput Appl* 32(23):17351–17360
- Livieris IE, Pintelas E, Stavroyiannis S, Pintelas P (2020) Ensemble deep learning models for forecasting cryptocurrency time-series. *Algorithms* 13(5):121
- Localbtc: Localbitcoins purchasing online. <https://localbitcoins.com>. [Online, Accessed: January 11, 2020] (2020)
- Lucarelli G, Borrotti M (2019) A deep reinforcement learning approach for automated cryptocurrency trading. In: *IFIP International Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications and Innovations*, pp 247–258. Springer
- Lu W, Li J, Li Y, Sun A, Wang J (2020) A cnn-lstm-based model to forecast stock prices. *Complexity* 2020
- Luu Duc Huynh T (2019) Spillover risks on cryptocurrency markets: A look from var-svar granger causality and student copulas. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 12(2):52
- Ma F, Liang C, Ma Y, Wahab M (2020) Cryptocurrency volatility forecasting: a Markov regime-switching midas approach. *J Forecast* 39(8):1277–1290
- Madan I, Saluja S, Zhao A (2015) Automated bitcoin trading via machine learning algorithms. <http://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2014/Isaac%20Madan>
- Makarov I, Schoar A (2020) Trading and arbitrage in cryptocurrency markets. *J Financ Econ* 135(2):293–319
- Malladi RK, Dheeriy PL (2021) Time series analysis of cryptocurrency returns and volatilities. *J Econ Finance* 45(1):75–94
- Maltatoday: Why world leader crypto exchange Binance moved to Malta. https://www.maltatoday.com.mt/business/business_news/93170/why_world_leader_crypto_exchange_binance_moved_to_malta#.XIKZ8Gj7Q2x. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020] (2020)
- Manahov V (2021) Cryptocurrency liquidity during extreme price movements: is there a problem with virtual money? *Quant Finance* 21(2):341–360
- Markowitz H (1952) Portfolio selection. *J Financ* 7(1):77–91
- McLean RD, Pontiff J (2016) Does academic research destroy stock return predictability? *J Financ* 71(1):5–32
- McNally S (2016) Predicting the price of bitcoin using machine learning. PhD thesis, Dublin, National College of Ireland
- McNally S, Roche J, Caton S (2018) Predicting the price of bitcoin using machine learning. In: *2018 26th Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Network-based Processing (PDP)*, pp 339–343. IEEE
- MercoPress: Bitcoin legal tender in El Salvador, first country ever. <https://en.mercoPress.com/2021/06/10/bitcoin-legal-tender-in-el-salvador-first-country-ever>. [Online, Accessed: June 10, 2021] (2021)
- Merediz-Solà I, Bariviera AF (2019) A bibliometric analysis of bitcoin scientific production. *Res Int Bus Financ* 50:294–305
- Meunier S (2018) Blockchain 101: What is blockchain and how does this revolutionary technology work? In: *Transforming Climate Finance and Green Investment with Blockchains*. Elsevier, pp 23–34
- Mikolov T, Kombrink S, Burget L, Černocký J, Khudanpur S (2011) Extensions of recurrent neural network language model. In: *2011 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp 5528–5531. IEEE
- MIT: Bitcoin network dataset. <https://senseable2015-6.mit.edu/bitcoin>. [Online, Accessed January 11, 2020] (2015)
- Molina J (2019) Develop your Crypto-Trading System Using Plain Logic, Part 1. <https://medium.com/swlh/develop-your-crypto-trading-system-using-plain-logic-part-1-caac02f0a37d>. Accessed 20 Sep 2019
- Mukhopadhyay U, Skjellum A, Hambolu O, Oakley J, Yu L, Brooks R (2016) A brief survey of cryptocurrency systems. In: *2016 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust (PST)*, pp. 745–752. IEEE
- Nakamoto S (2009) Bitcoin open source implementation of p2p currency. *P2P foundation* 18
- Nakano M, Takahashi A, Takahashi S (2018) Bitcoin technical trading with artificial neural network. *Physica A* 510:587–609
- Narayanan A, Bonneau J, Felten E, Miller A, Goldfeder S (2016) Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies: a comprehensive introduction. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Nasir MA, Huynh TLD, Nguyen SP, Duong D (2019) Forecasting cryptocurrency returns and volume using search engines. *Financ Innov* 5(1):2
- Neter J, Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Wasserman W (1996) *Applied Linear Statistical Models* vol. 4. Irwin Chicago
- Ng AY, Jordan MI (2002) On discriminative vs. generative classifiers: A comparison of logistic regression and naive bayes. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 841–848
- Nikolova V, Trinidad Segovia JE, Fernández-Martínez M, Sánchez-Granero MA (2020) A novel methodology to calculate the probability of volatility clusters in financial series: An application to cryptocurrency markets. *Mathematics* 8(8):1216
- Nomics: Top Cryptocurrency Exchanges List. <https://nomics.com/exchanges>. [Online, Accessed: January 11, 2020] (2020)
- Nti IK, Adekoya AF, Weyori BA (2020) A systematic review of fundamental and technical analysis of stock market predictions. *Artif Intell Rev* 53(4):3007–3057
- Oberlechner T (2001) Importance of technical and fundamental analysis in the European foreign exchange market. *Int J Finance Econ* 6(1):81–93
- Ogorevc M (2019) Cryptocurrency as money: A trading strategy solution. Available at SSRN 3436041
- Omane-Adjepong M, Alagidede IP (2019) Multiresolution analysis and spillovers of major cryptocurrency markets. *Res Int Bus Financ* 49:191–206
- Omane-Adjepong M, Alagidede P, Akosah NK (2019) Wavelet time-scale persistence analysis of cryptocurrency market returns and volatility. *Physica A* 514:105–120
- Pascanu R, Mikolov T, Bengio Y (2013) On the difficulty of training recurrent neural networks. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp 1310–1318

- Patil AP, Akarsh T, Parkavi A (2018) A study of opinion mining and data mining techniques to analyse the cryptocurrency market. In: 2018 3rd International Conference on Computational Systems and Information Technology for Sustainable Solutions (CSITSS), pp. 198–203. IEEE
- Păuna C (2018) Arbitrage trading systems for cryptocurrencies. Design principles and server architecture. *Informatica Economica* 22(2):35–42
- Persson S, Slottje A, Shaw I (2018) Hybrid autoregressive-recurrent neural network architecture for algorithmic trading of cryptocurrencies. Cs230 deep learning thesis, Stanford University
- Phaladisailoed T, Numnonda T (2018) Machine learning models comparison for bitcoin price prediction. In: 2018 10th International Conference on Information Technology and Electrical Engineering (ICITEE), pp 506–511. IEEE
- Phillips RC, Gorse D (2017) Predicting cryptocurrency price bubbles using social media data and epidemic modelling. In: 2017 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI), pp 1–7. IEEE
- Phillips RC, Gorse D (2018) Mutual-excitation of cryptocurrency market returns and social media topics. In: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Frontiers of Educational Technologies, pp 80–86. ACM
- Phillips RC, Gorse D (2018) Cryptocurrency price drivers: Wavelet coherence analysis revisited. *PLoS ONE* 13(4):0195200
- Phillips PC, Shi S, Yu J (2015) Testing for multiple bubbles: Historical episodes of exuberance and collapse in the s&p 500. *Int Econ Rev* 56(4):1043–1078
- Phillips PC, Shi S, Yu J (2015) Testing for multiple bubbles: limit theory of real-time detectors. *Int Econ Rev* 56(4):1079–1134
- Poloniex (2020) Poloniex markets. <https://poloniex.com/>. [Online, Accessed 11 Feb 2020]
- Qiao X, Zhu H, Hau L (2020) Time-frequency co-movement of cryptocurrency return and volatility: evidence from wavelet coherence analysis. *Int Rev Financ Anal* 71:101541
- Rane PV, Dhage SN (2019) Systematic erudition of bitcoin price prediction using machine learning techniques. In: 2019 5th International Conference on Advanced Computing & Communication Systems (ICACCS), pp 594–598. IEEE
- Rebane J, Karlsson I, Denic S, Papapetrou P (2018) Seq2seq rnns and arima models for cryptocurrency prediction: a comparative study. *SIKDD Fintech* 18
- Research Network B (2020) Platform for scholarly communication about cryptocurrencies and blockchains. <https://www.blockchainresearchnetwork.org/>. [Online, Accessed: April 17, 2020]
- Reuters: China bans financial, payment institutions from cryptocurrency business. <https://www.reuters.com/technology/chinese-financial-payment-bodies-barred-cryptocurrency-business-2021-05-18/>. [Online, Accessed 18 May 2021] (2021)
- Rish I et al (2001) An empirical study of the naive bayes classifier. In: *IJCAI 2001 Workshop on Empirical Methods in Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 3, pp 41–46
- Rose C (2015) The evolution of digital currencies: Bitcoin, a cryptocurrency causing a monetary revolution. *Int Bus Econ Res J (IBER)* 14(4):617–622
- Salakhutdinov R, Hinton G (2009) Deep boltzmann machines. In: *Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pp 448–455
- Sattarov O, Muminov A, Lee CW, Kang HK, Oh R, Ahn J, Oh HJ, Jeon HS (2020) Recommending cryptocurrency trading points with deep reinforcement learning approach. *Appl Sci* 10(4):1506
- Shahzad SJH, Bouri E, Ahmad T, Naeem MA (2021) Extreme tail network analysis of cryptocurrencies and trading strategies. *Finance Res Lett* 102106
- Shanaev S, Sharma S, Ghimire B, Shuraeva A (2020) Taming the blockchain beast? regulatory implications for the cryptocurrency market. *Res Int Bus Financ* 51:101080
- Sharma S, Krishna N, Raina E (2017) Survey paper on cryptocurrency. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology* 2(3):307–310
- Siaminos G (2019) Predicting the value of cryptocurrencies using machine learning time series analysis time series analysis time
- Sigaki HY, Perc M, Ribeiro HV (2019) Clustering patterns in efficiency and the coming-of-age of the cryptocurrency market. *Sci Rep* 9(1):1440
- Sirignano J, Cont R (2019) Universal features of price formation in financial markets: perspectives from deep learning. *Quant Finance* 19(9):1449–1459
- Slepaczuk R, Zenkova M (2018) Robustness of support vector machines in algorithmic trading on cryptocurrency market. *Cent Eur Econ J* 5:186–205
- Smuts N (2019) What drives cryptocurrency prices? An investigation of google trends and telegram sentiment. *ACM SIGMETRICS Perform Evalu Rev* 46(3):131–134
- Sriram A, Jun H, Satheesh S, Coates A (2017) Cold fusion: Training seq2seq models together with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.06426*
- Stocksharp: StockSharp - trading platform. <https://github.com/StockSharp/StockSharp>. [Online, Accessed 26 Jan 2020] (2020)
- Stuerner P (2019) Algorithmic cryptocurrency trading. PhD thesis, Ulm University
- Sun J, Zhou Y, Lin J (2019) Using machine learning for cryptocurrency trading. In: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Cyber Physical Systems (ICPS), pp 647–652. IEEE
- Sutton RS, Barto AG et al (1998) Introduction to reinforcement learning, vol 135. MIT Press, Cambridge
- Sze V, Chen Y-H, Yang T-J, Emer JS (2017) Efficient processing of deep neural networks: a tutorial and survey. *Proc IEEE* 105(12):2295–2329
- Tapscott D, Tapscott A (2016) *Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World*. Penguin
- TradingstrategyGuides: EOS Cryptocurrency Trading Strategy–Turtle Soup Pattern. <https://tradingstrategyguides.com/eos-cryptocurrency-trading-strategy/>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)
- TradingstrategyGuides: Free OMNI Cryptocurrency Strategy–Amazing Gann Box. <https://tradingstrategyguides.com/free-omni-cryptocurrency-strategy/>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)
- TradingstrategyGuides: IOTA Cryptocurrency Strategy–Busted Double Top Pattern. <https://tradingstrategyguides.com/iota-cryptocurrency-strategy/>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)

- TradingstrategyGuides: Nem (XEM) Cryptocurrency Strategy–Momentum Pinball Setup. <https://tradingstrategyguides.com/nem-xem-cryptocurrency-strategy/>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)
- TradingstrategyGuides: Tether Trading Strategy–Bottom Rotation Trading. <https://tradingstrategyguides.com/tether-trading-strategy/>. [Online, Accessed January 29, 2020] (2019)
- TradingView: Total Crypto Market Capitalization and Volume. <https://www.tradingview.com/markets/cryptocurrencies/global-charts/>. [Online, Accessed September 10, 2021] (2021)
- Troster V, Tiwari AK, Shahbaz M, Macedo DN (2019) Bitcoin returns and risk: A general garch and gas analysis. *Financ Res Lett* 30:187–193
- Trucíos C, Tiwari AK, Alqahtani F (2019) Value-at-risk and expected shortfall in cryptocurrencies' portfolio: A vine copula-based approach. Available at SSRN 3441892
- UKTN: Bitcoin and the Challenges for Financial Regulation. <https://www.uktech.news>. [Online, Accessed: February 24, 2021] (2021)
- van den Broek L, Sharif Z (2018) Cointegration-based pairs trading framework with application to the cryptocurrency market
- Vidal-Tomás D, Ibáñez AM, Farinós JE (2019) Herding in the cryptocurrency market: Cssid and csad approaches. *Financ Res Lett* 30:181–186
- Virk DS (2017) Prediction of bitcoin price using data mining. Master's thesis, National College of Ireland
- Vogelvang B (2005) *Econometrics: theory and applications with eviews*. Pearson Education, London
- Vo A, Yost-Bremm C (2018) A high-frequency algorithmic trading strategy for cryptocurrency. *J Comput Inform Syst* 1–14
- Wagstaff K, Cardie C, Rogers S, Schrödl S et al (2001) Constrained k-means clustering with background knowledge. In: *Icml*, vol 1, pp 577–584
- Wang L (2005) *Support vector machines: theory and applications*, vol 177. Springer, New York
- Wang H, He D, Ji Y (2017) Designated-verifier proof of assets for bitcoin exchange using elliptic curve cryptography. *Future Generat Comput Syst*
- Ward M (2018) Algorithmic trading for cryptocurrencies. Departmental honors thesis, Utah State University, United States
- Weber P, Wang F, Vodenska-Chitkushev I, Havlin S, Stanley HE (2007) Relation between volatility correlations in financial markets and omori processes occurring on all scales. *Phys Rev E* 76(1):016109
- Wohlin C (2014) Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. In: *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, pp 1–10
- Xu Z, Wang S, Zhu F, Huang J (2017) Seq2seq fingerprint: An unsupervised deep molecular embedding for drug discovery. In: *Proceedings of the 8th ACM International Conference on Bioinformatics, Computational Biology, and Health Informatics*, pp 285–294
- Yang H (2018) Behavioral anomalies in cryptocurrency markets. Available at SSRN 3174421. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3174421>
- Yao Y, Yi J, Zhai S, Lin Y, Kim T, Zhang G, Lee LY (2018) Predictive analysis of cryptocurrency price using deep learning. *Int J Eng Technol* 7(3.27):258–264
- Yaya OS, Ogbonna EA, Olubusoye OE (2018) How persistent and dependent are pricing of bitcoin to other cryptocurrencies before and after 2017/18 crash?
- Zamuda A, Crescimanna V, Burguillo JC, Dias JM, Wegrzyn-Wolska K, Rached I, Gonzalez H, Senkerik R, Pop C, Cioara T et al (2019) Forecasting cryptocurrency value by sentiment analysis: An hpc-oriented survey of the state-of-the-art in the cloud era. In: *High-Performance Modelling and Simulation for Big Data Applications*, pp 325–349. Springer
- Żbikowski K (2016) Application of machine learning algorithms for bitcoin automated trading. In: *Machine Intelligence and Big Data in Industry*, pp 161–168. Springer
- Zemmal N, Azizi N, Dey N, Sellami M (2016) Adaptive semi supervised support vector machine semi supervised learning with features cooperation for breast cancer classification. *J Med Imaging Health Inform* 6(1):53–62
- Zha Q, Kou G, Zhang H, Liang H, Chen X, Li C-C, Dong Y (2020) Opinion dynamics in finance and business: a literature review and research opportunities. *Financial Innovation* 6(1):1–22
- Zhang W, Li Y (2020) Is idiosyncratic volatility priced in cryptocurrency markets? *Res Int Bus Financ* 54:101252
- Zhang S, Yao L, Sun A, Tay Y (2019) Deep learning based recommender system: a survey and new perspectives. *ACM Comput Surv* 52(1). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3285029>
- Zhengyang W, Xingzhou L, Jinjin R, Jiaqing K (2019) Prediction of cryptocurrency price dynamics with multiple machine learning techniques. In: *Proceedings of the 2019 4th International Conference on Machine Learning Technologies*, pp 15–19. ACM
- Zhou H, Kaley PS (2019) Algorithmic and high frequency trading in asia-pacific, now and the future. *Pac Basin Financ J* 53:186–207

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.